



Aalto University
School of Science
and Technology

Coding Theory on Non-Standard Alphabets

A Brief Introduction into Traditional Coding Theory

Marcus Greferath

Department of Mathematics and Systems Analysis
Aalto University School of Sciences
marcus.greferath@aalto.fi

May 2023

Linear Codes and Their Duals

- ▶ **Definition:** Let \mathbb{F}_q denote the q -element field, and let $w_H : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ with

$$w_H(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & : x \neq 0 \\ 0 & : x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Extend this additively to the **Hamming weight** on \mathbb{F}_q^n , by

$$w_H : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N},$$
$$x \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n w_H(x_i) = \#\{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid x_i \neq 0\},$$

- ▶ This weight induces the **Hamming distance**

$$d_H : \mathbb{F}_q^n \times \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, (x, y) \mapsto d_H(x, y) := w_H(x - y).$$

- ▶ As we saw, d_H is indeed a metric on \mathbb{F}_q^n , i.e. positive, symmetric, with triangle inequality.
- ▶ Its one-argument version w_H is often easier to handle, while essentially describing the same phenomena.
- ▶ A block code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ will be called a **linear code**, if it is a subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^n . We will write $C \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ in this case.
- ▶ As before, n is called the **length** of the code, whereas $k := \dim(C)$ is the **dimension**, so that we have $M = q^k$, and the rate $R = \frac{k}{n}$.
- ▶ If d is the minimum Hamming distance of C , we refer to C as an $[n, k, d]$ -code, or an $[n, k, d]_q$ -code including a reference to the (size of the) the field alphabet.

- ▶ The minimum distance d of a linear code is equivalently described by its **minimum weight**, which means

$$d_{\min}(C) = w_{\min}(C) = \min \left\{ w_H(c) \mid c \in C - \{0\} \right\}.$$

- ▶ Combinatorially, an \mathbb{F}_q -linear $[n, k, d]_q$ -code is a block code with parameters (n, q^k, d) over a size q alphabet.
- ▶ Linearity is implicitly emphasized by the choice of square brackets: $[n, k, d]_q$ instead of (n, M, d) , where $M = q^k$.
- ▶ **Example:** The binary repetition code $C := \{[0, 0, 0], [1, 1, 1]\}$ is a linear code with parameters $[3, 1, 3]$.
- ▶ **Example:** The even weight code $E_3 := \{[0, 0, 0], [0, 1, 1], [1, 0, 1], [1, 1, 0]\}$ is a linear code with parameters $[3, 2, 2]$.

- ▶ **Definition:** Let C be an \mathbb{F}_q -linear code of length n . A $k \times n$ -matrix G with entries from \mathbb{F}_q is called a **generator matrix** for C , if

$$C = \{xG \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q^k\}.$$

In this case, the rows of G span C .

- ▶ **Definition:** G is called a **check matrix** for C , if

$$C = \{z \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid zG^T = 0\}.$$

This means the given code is the null space of G^T .

- ▶ In most cases it is convenient (or even required) to assume that generator and check matrices are of full rank.

- ▶ **Nota Bene:** If C is an \mathbb{F}_q -linear $[n, k]$ -code, then there exists a $k \times n$ -generator matrix and an $(n - k) \times n$ -check matrix for C .
- ▶ If $G = [I_k \mid A]$ is a generator matrix C , where I_k is the $k \times k$ identity matrix and A is an $k \times (n - k)$ matrix, then $H := [-A^T \mid I_{n-k}]$ will be a check matrix for C .
- ▶ Not in each case, though, a code C possesses a generator matrix in **standard form** $[I_k \mid A]$.
- ▶ A coordinate permutation will help to arrive at generator matrix that allows for the standard form.
- ▶ This permutation will of course have to be reversed, once the check matrix of this matrix has been derived.

- ▶ Consider the binary matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which is a generator matrix for a binary $[7, 3, 4]$ code.

- ▶ This code is called **simplex code** which is closely related to the binary Hamming code with parameters $[7, 4, 3]$ that we are going to discuss soon.
- ▶ The binary repetition code C of length 3 has the 1×3 -matrix $B = [1, 1, 1]$ as generator matrix. The even weight code E_3 is generated by the matrix

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- **Example:** For $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, a, a^2\}$, the matrix

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & a^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

generates a $[5, 3, 3]$ -code, that may be recognized as \mathbb{F}_4 -linear Hamming Code of rank 2.

- This code is equally well described by the check matrix

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & a & a^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- ▶ **Proposition:** Let C be an \mathbb{F}_q -linear code of length n , and let H be a check matrix for C . Then the following are equivalent:
 - ▶ The minimum distance of C is d .
 - ▶ Any $d - 1$ columns of H are linearly independent, and there exists a d -element selection of linearly dependent columns.
- ▶ **Definition:** Let C be an \mathbb{F}_q -linear code of length n . We define

$$C^\perp := \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid cx = 0 \text{ for all } c \in C\}$$

and call it the **dual code** of C .

- ▶ Linear Algebra tells us that if $W \leq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a real vector space, then $W \cap W^\perp = \{0\}$, as there is no (non-zero) real vector which is orthogonal to itself.
- ▶ In finite Linear Algebra, this may be different, so that a code can even contain (or be contained in) its own dual.
- ▶ For example in even length, the binary repetition code is contained in the even weight code, which is its dual.
- ▶ **Definition:** A code C will be called self-dual, if $C^\perp = C$.
- ▶ It has been observed, that many good codes (of rate $1/2$) are actually self-dual. Therefore self-dual codes form a classically hot topic in algebraic coding theory.

- ▶ **Definition:** A block code $C \subseteq F^n$ is called a **cyclic code**, if with every codeword $[c_0, \dots, c_{n-1}] \in C$ also the word $[c_{n-1}, c_0, \dots, c_{n-2}] \in C$. This means, C is invariant under cyclic permutations.
- ▶ **Observation:** A cyclic linear code $C \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ can be represented as an ideal in the quotient ring $\frac{\mathbb{F}_q[x]}{(x^n-1)}$.
- ▶ This representation is based on the one-to-one correspondence:

$$[c_0, \dots, c_{n-1}] \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \longleftrightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i x^i + (x^n - 1) \in \frac{\mathbb{F}_q[x]}{(x^n - 1)}.$$

- ▶ The cyclic shift is represented by multiplication by x .

- ▶ It is clumsy to write $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i x^i + (x^n - 1)$ for the elements of this residual ring.
- ▶ So, one omits the expression $(x^n - 1)$ in general, or one occasionally writes $(\text{mod } x^n - 1)$ when it is suggested.
- ▶ **Proposition:** Let C be a cyclic \mathbb{F}_q -linear code. Then there is a unique monic divisor g of $x^n - 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ such that

$$C = \frac{\mathbb{F}_q[x] g}{(x^n - 1)}.$$

- ▶ **Definition:** The polynomial g above is called the **generator polynomial** of C .

- ▶ The above allows to characterize all distinct cyclic codes of a given length, which only requires knowledge about the factorization of $x^n - 1$ in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$.
- ▶ **Example:** Over the binary field \mathbb{F}_2 , we factorize

$$x^7 + 1 = (x + 1)(x^3 + x + 1)(x^3 + x^2 + 1).$$

As there are 3 irreducible divisors involved, we conclude that we will have to deal with 8 distinct cyclic codes.

- ▶ The co-divisor $h = \frac{x^n - 1}{g}$ is usually referred to as the **check polynomial** of C .
- ▶ The polynomial $h^{\text{rev}}(x) = x^{\deg(h)} h(\frac{1}{x})$ generates C^\perp .

- ▶ We wonder, how to derive new codes from given ones.
- ▶ **Puncturing:** Let C be an \mathbb{F}_q -linear Code of length n . For chosen $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ define the code

$$C_i := \{[c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n] \mid [c_1, \dots, c_n] \in C\}$$

and say, C_i is the code C **punctured** in coordinate i .

- ▶ C_i is linear of length $n' = n - 1$; its dimension k' and minimum distance d' satisfy $k - 1 \leq k' \leq k$ and $d - 1 \leq d' \leq d$.
- ▶ Generally, we have $k' = k$, at least if $d \geq 2$, and mostly $d' = d - 1$, however $d' = d$ can happen as well in given cases.

- ▶ **Example:** Let C be the binary $[8, 4, 4]$ -code spanned by the rows of the generator matrix

$$G = \left[\begin{array}{cccccc|cc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

Let C_8 be the code resulting from puncturing C in the 8th coordinate.

- ▶ The matrix consisting of the first 7 columns of G is a generator matrix for C_8 .
- ▶ It turns out that C_8 has parameters $[7, 4, 3]$.

- **Extending:** Let C be an \mathbb{F}_q -linear Code of length n . For a linear form $\lambda : C \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$, define the code

$$C_\lambda := \{[c_1, \dots, c_n, \lambda(c)] \mid c = [c_1, \dots, c_n] \in C\}$$

and call C_λ the **extended code** of C .

- In most cases, a special choice of λ is used, namely

$$\lambda(c) = - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \quad \text{for } c \in C.$$

- **Example:** Let C be the binary $[6, 3, 3]$ -code spanned by the rows of the generator matrix

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- ▶ Extending this code yields the code C_{ext} , and we find the matrix

$$G_{\text{ext}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

to be a suitable generator matrix for C_{ext} . It turns out that this code has parameters $[7, 3, 4]$.

- ▶ **Shortening:** Let C be an \mathbb{F}_q -linear Code of length n . For a chosen $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we define the code

$$C_i := \{[c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n] \mid [c_1, \dots, c_n] \in C \text{ and } c_i = 0\}$$

and say, C_i is the code C **shortened** in coordinate i .

- ▶ C^i is a linear of length $n' = n - 1$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.
- ▶ Its dimension k' and minimum distance d' satisfy $k - 1 \leq k' \leq k$ and $d' = d$.
- ▶ Generally, we have $k' = k - 1$, except in the case, where position i was a **zero coordinate** of C .
- ▶ Such a situation will never be interesting in applications, however for the theory, it might be worth mentioning.
- ▶ **Example:** Let C be the binary $[8, 4, 4]$ -code spanned by the rows of the generator matrix

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- ▶ Applying Gaussian elimination focusing on the 8th coordinate, we obtain the generator matrix

$$G' = \left[\begin{array}{cccccc|cc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

- ▶ Shortening C in the 8th coordinate yields the code C^8 , for which the lower left part G'' of G' is a generator matrix.

$$G'' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

generates C^8 , which has parameters $[7, 3, 4]$.

- ▶ Puncturing and shortening a code allows repeated application, so that many different codes of various length $n' \leq n$ will result.
- ▶ **Plotkin Sum:** Assume that C_1 is an $[n, k_1, d_1]$ code and C_2 is an $[n, k_2, d_2]$ code. Define the code

$$C_1 \oplus C_2 := \{[x, x + y] \mid x \in C_1, y \in C_2\}.$$

- ▶ The length of $C_1 \oplus C_2$ is $2n$, the dimension is $k_1 + k_2$, and the minimum distance is given by $\min\{2d_1, d_2\}$.
- ▶ This is superior to

$$C_1 \boxplus C_2 := \{[x, y] \mid x \in C_1, y \in C_2\},$$

which is actually of minimum distance $\min\{d_1, d_2\}$

- ▶ **Code Equivalence:** We say, two block codes C and D are equivalent, if they are isometric, meaning there is a bijective mapping $\varphi : C \rightarrow D$ such that

$$d_H(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = d_H(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in C.$$

- ▶ If C and D are linear codes, we ask that φ be a linear mapping, which will be an isometry, if it preserves the weight w_H .
- ▶ **Definition:** An endomorphism $\varphi \in \text{End}(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$ is called a **monomial transformation**, if there is a permutation $\pi \in S_n$ and $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ such that

$$\varphi([x_1, \dots, x_n]) = [x_{\pi(1)}u_1, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}u_n] \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n.$$

- ▶ Every monomial transformation φ of \mathbb{F}_q^n is a Hamming isometry of this full vector space.
- ▶ If $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is a Hamming isometry, then φ is a monomial transformation.
- ▶ If $C \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is a linear code and $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is a monomial transformation, then C and $\varphi(C)$ are equivalent.
- ▶ **Lemma:** Let C be an \mathbb{F}_q -linear code of length n . Then

$$\frac{1}{|C|} \sum_{c \in C} w_H(c) = \frac{q-1}{q} |\text{supp}(C)|,$$

where $\text{supp}(C)$ is the set of all coordinates, in which C takes nonzero values.

- ▶ **Corollary:** Let $C \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a linear code and $\psi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^n$ a Hamming isometry. Then

$$|\{i \mid \pi_i(C) = \{0\}\}| = |\{i \mid \pi_i\psi(C) = \{0\}\}|.$$

- ▶ **Theorem:** Let C and D be \mathbb{F}_q -linear codes of length n , and let $\psi : C \rightarrow D$ be a Hamming isometry. Then there is a monomial transformation φ on \mathbb{F}_q^n such that $\varphi|_C = \psi$.

- ▶ **Remarks on proof:**

- ▶ The theorem was proved first by F. J. MacWilliams in her thesis in 1962 for linear codes over prime fields.
- ▶ It can be proved in various ways, the simplest among which being induction on the length and using the above corollary.