Prof. Dr. Marcus Greferath Dept. of Mathematics and Systems Analysis School of Sciences Aalto University

Spring 2023

## MS-EV0011: Codes over nonstandard alphabets

## Problem Set II

**Problem 1:** Let *C* be the smallest cyclic code of length *n* that contains a given codeword *a*, where *a* is a polynomial of degree at most n - 1 over the base field. Show that the generator polynomial of *C* is given by  $g = \gcd(a, x^n - 1)$ .

<u>Work:</u> First of all, we observe that, by definition, g is a monic divisor of  $x^n - 1$ , and hence it is the generator polynomial of a cyclic code. Now that g is at the same time a divisor of a, we conclude that  $\mathbb{F}[x] a \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x] g$ , and hence  $\mathbb{F}[x] a/(x^n - 1) \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x] g/(x^n - 1)$ . Known properties about the gcd include that there exist  $s, t \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  such that  $g = s a + t (x^n - 1)$ . This immediately implies  $\mathbb{F}[x] g/(x^n - 1) = \mathbb{F}[x] sa/(x^n - 1) \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x] a/(x^n - 1)$  which is the reverse inclusion. Hence, our claim has been proved.

**Problem 2:** Let *C* be a *q*-ary cyclic code of length *n* where gcd(q, n) = 1. Assume  $g \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  is the generator polynomial, and  $h \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  is its check polynomial, and hence  $gh = x^n - 1$ . Since gcd(g, h) = 1 (why?) we have  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  with ag + bh = 1. Define i = ag = 1 - bh.

- (a) Show that i is a codeword, and that  $ic \equiv c \pmod{x^n 1}$  for all  $c \in C$ .
- (b) Show that *i* is an idempotent element, that means  $i^2 \equiv i \pmod{x^n 1}$ .
- (c) Show that a polyomial having the properties in (a) is unique modulo  $x^n 1$ . It is called the generating idempotent for C.

<u>Work:</u> Using the formal derivative (or otherwise), one can show that the assumption gcd(q, n) = 1 leads to the fact that  $x^n - 1$  does not have multiple zeros (in any extension field). This makes the two co-divisors g and h co-prime.

- (a) For  $c \in C$  we have  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  such that  $c \equiv \lambda g \pmod{x^n 1}$ . This leads to  $ic \equiv (1 bh)\lambda g = \lambda g \lambda bgh \equiv \lambda g \equiv c \pmod{x^n 1}$ .
- (b) Observing that  $i \in C$ , the equality  $i^2 = i$  directly follows from (a).
- (c) If  $j \in C$  is another candidate for the role of i, we find ij = j and ji = i which by commutativity yields the equality of i and j.

## Problem 3:

(a) Using suitable existence bounds, determine whether or not binary linear codes with the following parameters exist. If you feel that such a code exists, then provide an example.

- [15, 11, 3]

 $\begin{array}{rrr} - & [11,7,6] \\ - & [10,3,6] \\ - & [16,5,8] \end{array}$ 

(b) Compare the Gilbert with the Varshamov bound: using these, determine lower bounds on  $A_2(16,6)$ . Conclusion?

<u>Recall</u>:  $A_q(n,d) = \max\{M \mid \text{there exists an } (n, M, d)_q \text{-code.}\}$ 

Work:

- (a) The triple [15, 11, 3] belongs to the binary Hamming code of order r = 4, so the existence of a code is granted. The Singleton bound precludes the existence of a binary code with parameters [11, 7, 6] because  $7 + 6 \leq 11 + 1$ . Looking at codetables.de, or otherwise, it turns out that the triple [10, 3, 6] does not belong to an existing code, because of a violation of the Griesmer bound (that we have not covered in class). The final triple [16, 5, 8] turns out to belong to the Reed-Muller Code RM(1, 4), hence a code with these parameters exists.
- (b) The purely combinatorial version of the GV-bound yields that  $A_2(16, 6) \ge 10$ , while the algebraic version guarantees a linear code with at least 16 words. We conclude that the covering-based version is not as powerful as its competitor, which might be considered counter-intuitive, because linearity is a proper restriction on the code class. This problem is resolved observing that these bounds are *lower* bounds, guaranteeing rather than precluding the existence of the respective codes.

**Problem 4:** Let R be a finite Frobenius ring and assume  $\chi$  is a generating character for R which means  $\widehat{R} = R\chi$ . Show that the pair of transforms  $\hat{A}$  and  $\tilde{C}: \mathbb{C}^R \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^R$  where

$$\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{r \in R} f(r) \chi(xr)$$
 and  $\tilde{f}(x) = \frac{1}{|R|} \sum_{r \in R} f(r) \chi(-rx)$ 

indeed satisfies  $\tilde{\hat{f}} = \hat{f} = f$  for all  $f \in \mathbb{C}^R$ . Work: We first observe that

$$\sum_{x \in R} \chi(xr) = \begin{cases} |R| & : r = 0, \\ 0 & : \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This stems from the fact that  $r\chi$  is principal if and only if r = 0, and is due to the fact that  $\chi$  is a generating character. We will restrict to showing  $\tilde{f} = f$  for all  $f \in \mathbb{C}^R$ . For this, we compute

$$\tilde{\hat{f}}(x) = \frac{1}{|R|} \sum_{y \in R} \hat{f}(y) \chi(-yx),$$

combine it with

$$\hat{f}(y) = \sum_{r \in R} f(r)\chi(yr),$$

in order to obtain

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{r \in R} f(r) \frac{1}{|R|} \sum_{y \in R} \chi(y(r-x)) = f(x).$$

In fact, by the above observation we have

$$\frac{1}{|R|} \sum_{y \in R} \chi(y(r-x)) = \begin{cases} 1 & : r = x, \\ 0 & : \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$