Engineered promoters enable constant gene expression at any copy number in bacteria

Group 4

Melissa Hendren, Anni Lindfors, Hibiki Maruyama, Elina Satova

Table of Contents

Introduction and Aim

Methods

Results

Future Prospects

Open Questions

Introduction

The internal environment of growing cells is variable and dynamic, making it difficult to introduce reliable parts, such as promoters, for genetic engineering.

Authors applied control-theoretic ideas to design promoters that maintained constant levels of expression at any copy number.

Theory predicts that independence to copy number can be achieved by using an incoherent feedforward loop (iFFL) if the negative regulation is perfectly non-cooperative.

They engineered iFFLs into Escherichia coli promoters using transcription-activator-like effectors (TALEs).

Main aim

Genetic engineering projects often require balancing of gene expression; however, achieving and maintaining this balance is difficult.

For example, in metabolic engineering the expression of enzymes may need to be regulated to optimize flux to the product, variable expression could result in diversion of carbon to other pathways or in the accumulation of toxic intermediates. \rightarrow Important to stabilize gene expression

 \rightarrow Main aim to stabilize the expression of gene is to interest by this incoherent feedforward loop (iFFL) meaning that the inputs both negatively and positively control the output Copy number



Methods



Insulated constitutive promoter regulates a repressor protein, which represses the GOI promoter

- \rightarrow increasing copy-number = more repression
- → "stabilized" promoters



Theory behind the method

Under steady-state conditions transcriptional repression follows Hill's equation

If the dynamic range of the repression is high, the repression concentration (X^*) will go so much over the dissociation constant, that we can rewrite it just as b/(X*)^n OR in our case:

G= gene expression, c= copy number, R=repression concentration

And because in our case the repression is under an unregulated promoter, it will be transcribed in proportion with the copy number $\rightarrow c = R$

Which means we can rewrite the equation like this:

 $G \propto c^{1-n}$

And n=1 when repression is non-cooperative \rightarrow c^0 =1

 \rightarrow G \propto 1 \rightarrow gene expression is independent from copy number

 $f(X^*) = \frac{\beta}{1 + \left(\frac{X^*}{K}\right)^n}$



So what this means:

We go from this: 10^{0} 10^{-1} 10^{-1} 10^{-1} 10^{-1} 10^{-1} 10^{-1} [Repressor], R





TALEs

- TALE proteins for stabilized promoter
 - Shown great repression activity in *E. coli*
- TALEs bind as monomers to the operator site
 - -> screened various sites for the operator
 - TALEsp1 and TALEsp2
 - 90- and 230-fold repression





a Insulated constitutive promoter - 238 bp



b TALEsp1-stabilized promoter - 3122 bp



c TALEsp2-stabilized promoter - 3122 bp





Stabilization of expression with synthetic promoter system

1. Against varying copy numbers

2. Against genomic locations

3. Against external environment

Independent expression of copy number

Basic idea



 \rightarrow Synthetic promoter TALEsp1 maintains expression

Variety of promoters (pSC101 variants) achieve variety of stabilized expression levels



 $\rightarrow\,$ One TALE with varying synthetic promoters allow the stabilization of multiple genes

Independent expression of location



TALEsp2 promoter with higher TALE expression to buffer against rapid cell divisions; **stronger repression**

 \rightarrow Maximal expression near ORI

 \rightarrow Similar expression in all genomic locations

 \rightarrow Similar expression from the genome and plasmids

Independent expression of (some) changes in the environment

External environment can affect plasmid copy numbers and break metabolic pathways



Four medium variants varying in the carbon source led to **differences in growth rate** and **90-fold spread in expression levels**

 \rightarrow Stabilized promoter was able to balance out most of the differences

Performance of the synthetic promoter

Stabilized synthetic regulation against copy-number differences



2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol (DAPG) sensor based on PhIF repressor:

High concentration of DAPG inhibits the repression of GFP

 \rightarrow High copy-number backbones resulted in low GFP expression and low copy-number backbones high GFP expression

 \rightarrow Stabilized PhF expression resulted to more the GFP expression to collapse in a single curve

Performance of the synthetic promoter

Optimizing a three-gene **metabolic pathway** for the production of deoxchromoviridans



Three-gene operon was optimized with RBSs and was inserted in the genome



 \rightarrow Production collapsed after inserting the pathway in the genome

 \rightarrow Production was preserved in the genome without further -retuning

Future Prospects

Why is this important?

The stabilized promoters are able to reduce the copy-number dependence of synthetic regulation and thus can be applied to maintain a constant response function irrespective of the genetic location of the regulatory protein

 \rightarrow genetic stability of cells growth & effective product synthesis.

Project question: "Could we design a promoter that produces the same protein concentration no matter where it is placed?"

Current result: "Class of stabilised promoter was designed that maintained the same level of gene expression irrespective of the plasmid backbone or its location in the genome"

Why is this important?

• Helps to achieve balancing of gene expression in genetic engineering projects.

For example, in metabolic engineering the expression of enzymes need to be regulated to optimize flux to the product.

• The construction of intracellular molecular machines requires the correct ratios of components (provided by gene expression stability).

