

More formally: E: is mutually independent of the events $\overline{N}(i) = \overline{f} \in \{(i,j) \notin E\}$

Degree of the dependency graph is the maximum degree of any vertex.

LLL (symmetric version) Let E, E2,... En be bad events such that () for all i, $P_{r}[E_{i}] \leq p$ (2) degree of dependency graph $\leq d$ 3 4pd ≤ 1 Then $P_{T}[\hat{P}_{T}] > 0$



n pairs of users that want to communicate with each other

Underlying communication network connects each pair using a set of paths.

Pair i can choose one path from set Fi of m paths.

Catch: paths in Fi can share edges with paths in Fj (at most k)

We want to find the condition where it is possible for each pair to choose edge disjoint paths.

Say each pair chooses a path in Fj uniformly at random.

Bad events: Ei, j where pairs if j choose a path with common edges. $Pr[E_{i,j}] \leq \frac{k}{m}(=p)$

Dependency Graph: Ei,j is mutually independent of all events Ep,q such that p & Ei,j] q & Ei,j]

Ei, j has an edge to $E_{P,q}$ iff either p = i, j or q = i, j

Degree of dependency graph is <2n (= d)

LLL condition: $4dp \leq 1$ $\frac{8nk}{m} \leq 1 \implies m \geqslant 8nk$ # paths # pairs # of between pair i paths in Fi 107 disjoint with Fj So if there are at least 8n & paths between each pair, we can tolerate k "shared" paths between each pair i, j <u>Depressing news</u> m>nk suffices by a simple counting argument. Application: Sinkless Orientations Want to orient edges of a d-reg. graph such that we do not have sinks (ie. nodes with no outgoing edges) Probabilistic experiment: Orient cach edge independently in one of the two directions

Bad Events: a node v has all edges
incoming...

$$Pr[Ev] = (\pm)^{d} = p$$

Dependency Graph: input graph...
LLL condition $4pd \leq 1$
 $4 \cdot \frac{1}{2^{d}} \cdot d \leq 1$
 $d = 1$: $4pd = 2$
 $d = 2$: $4pd = 2$
 $d = 3$: $4pd = 3/2$
 $d = 4$: $4pd = 1 \leq 1$
 $d = 5$: $4pd = 5/8 \leq 1$
So if $d \geq 4$ then a sinkless orientation
always exists!
We will see other applications in
tytoxial 4 and in week 5

Next we prove the LLL. See Section 6.7 of the MU textbook.