

II. The Kronecker-Weber thm for quadratic fields and the QRL

Let K/\mathbb{Q} be a quadratic extension. Can assume $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, D square-free
 Let's prove:

Kronecker-Weber's thm. for quadratic fields.

③ Prop: $S := \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \zeta^a$ the Gauss sum for $\left(\frac{*}{p}\right)$, ζ p -th primitive root of $\pm 1 \Rightarrow$

$$S^2 = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) p. \quad (\text{last corollary}).$$

$$\text{Def: } p^* := \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) p = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ -p & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Notice $p^* \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4}$.

④ Cor: p odd prime $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p^*}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. \leftarrow until here.

• Now, $i = \pm 1$ primitive 4-th root $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(i)$ is already cyclotomic.

Moreover: $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p^*}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(i) \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p^*}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(i) \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ compositum of

Cyclotomic is cyclotomic $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\pm p}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4p})$.

• Now, $\zeta_8 = \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}$. Since $i \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8) \Rightarrow \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{-2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8) \Rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\pm 2}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$

Finally, if $D = \pm p_1 \dots p_r \Rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(i) \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8) \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_1}) \dots \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_r}) \subseteq$
 $\subseteq \mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{p_1^*}, \dots, \sqrt{p_r^*}) =$

$$= \mathbb{Q}(i) \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1^*}) \dots \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_r^*}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{8p_1 \dots p_r}).$$

obs: Maybe, indeed, if $\text{sign} = +$ and D odd,

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\underbrace{\zeta_{4p_1 \dots p_r}}_{4|D}).$$

⑤ Cor: D square free $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{4|D})$. \leftarrow time permitting.

• The quadratic reciprocity law (Gauss: "Mathematics is the Queen of Science. Number Theory is the queen's crown. The QRL is the jewel of the crown!")

Consider Gauss sums in odd characteristic ℓ . All results apply as for complex ones (check it).

in particular $S := \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \zeta^{a}$, $\zeta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$, $\zeta^p = 1$ primitive \Rightarrow

$$S^2 = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \cdot p$$

(16) Lemma: $S^{\ell-1} = \left(\frac{\ell}{p}\right)$.

Proof: $S^\ell = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p} \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \zeta^{a\ell} = \sum_a \left(\frac{a\ell}{p}\right) \zeta^{a\ell} = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \sum_a \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \zeta^{a\ell} = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) S \Rightarrow$
 \uparrow
 charact. ℓ

$$S^{\ell-1} = \left(\frac{\ell}{p}\right) \#$$

(17) Thm (the QRL) p, ℓ prime, odd $\Rightarrow \left(\frac{\ell}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{p}{\ell}\right) (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \frac{\ell-1}{2}}$

Proof: $\ell = p \Rightarrow$ trivial, othw:

Given $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $z \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ s.t. $z^2 = a \Rightarrow \left(\frac{a}{\ell}\right) = z^{\ell-1}$. Indeed: $z^{\ell-1} = z^{2 \cdot \frac{\ell-1}{2}} =$

$$= a^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}} = \left(\frac{a}{\ell}\right) \Rightarrow$$

$$\left(\frac{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) p}{\ell}\right) = S^{\ell-1} = \left(\frac{\ell}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \cdot p}{\ell}\right) = \left(\frac{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}}{\ell}\right) \left(\frac{p}{\ell}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{\ell-1}{2}} \left(\frac{p}{\ell}\right) \#$$

$$S^2 = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \cdot p$$

e.g. $\left(\frac{34569284994927}{1602961}\right) = \left(\frac{1188715}{1602961}\right) = \left(\frac{5 \cdot 11 \cdot 21613}{1602961}\right) = \left(\frac{5}{1602961}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{11}{1602961}\right)$

$$\left(\frac{21613}{1602961}\right) = \left(\frac{1602961}{5}\right) \left(\frac{1602961}{11}\right) \left(\frac{1602961}{21613}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{5}\right) \left(\frac{8}{11}\right) \left(\frac{3599}{21613}\right) = - \left(\frac{59}{21613}\right) \left(\frac{61}{21613}\right)$$

$$1602961 \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \Rightarrow (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{\ell-1}{2}} = 1$$

$$= \left(\frac{19}{59}\right) \left(\frac{19}{61}\right) = \left(\frac{59}{19}\right) \left(\frac{61}{19}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{19}\right) \left(\frac{1}{19}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{19}\right) \#$$



Apellidos:		Pág.:
Nombre:	Fecha:	
Titulación:		
Asignatura:	legende symbols computation.	Curso / grupo:

Def (Jacobi symbol) → Helps simplify computation.
 P odd, $P \in \mathbb{Z}$. $P = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \dots p_r^{n_r} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{a}{P}\right) := \prod_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{a}{p_i}\right)^{n_i}$

- Prop: 1) $(a, P) > 1 \Rightarrow \left(\frac{a}{P}\right) = 0$.
 2) $(a, P) = 1 \Rightarrow \left(\frac{a}{P}\right) = \pm 1$
 3) p_1, p_2, P odd, $a_1, a_2, a \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{a}{p_1 p_2}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p_1}\right) \left(\frac{a}{p_2}\right), \left(\frac{a_1 a_2}{P}\right) = \left(\frac{a_1}{P}\right) \left(\frac{a_2}{P}\right)$
 4) $a \equiv a' \pmod{P} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{a}{P}\right) = \left(\frac{a'}{P}\right)$

Prop: $\left(\frac{p_1}{p_2}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p_1-1}{2} \frac{p_2-1}{2}} \left(\frac{p_2}{p_1}\right)$ (QR).
 Lemma: $P := p_1^{n_1} \dots p_r^{n_r}, \epsilon(P) := \frac{P-1}{2} \Rightarrow (-1)^{\epsilon(P)} = \prod_{i=1}^r (-1)^{\epsilon(p_i) \cdot n_i}$

Cor: $w(P) := \frac{P-1}{8} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{-1}{P}\right) = (-1)^{\epsilon(P)}, \left(\frac{2}{P}\right) = (-1)^{w(P)}$

The Kronecker symbol (allows to describe the decomposition law of primes in quadratic fields).

Obs: $|\mathbb{Z}/(4\mathbb{Z})^*| = 2 \Rightarrow$ there are only 2 Dirichlet chars mod 4, χ_1 and $(-1)^{\epsilon(x)}$, primitive modulo 4. $(-1)^{\epsilon(1)} = 1, (-1)^{\epsilon(3)} = -1$.

$|\mathbb{Z}/(8\mathbb{Z})^*| = 4 \Rightarrow$ there are only 4 Dirichlet chars mod 8. Two of them are those induced from $\chi_1, (-1)^{\epsilon(x)} \pmod{4}$.

The others are also quadratic as $(\mathbb{Z}/(8\mathbb{Z})^*)^*$ has exp 2: $3^2=1, 5^2=1, 7^2=1$.

$\chi_3 = (-1)^{w(x)}, \chi_4 = (-1)^{w(x) + \epsilon(x)}$

$\left(\frac{*}{p}\right)$ is the only quadratic character of conductor p .

Let $d := l_1 \dots l_r$, l_i odd prime, might also be $d := 1$.

$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{*}{d}\right) = \prod_i \left(\frac{*}{l_i}\right)$ is a Dirichlet character modulo d .

$(-1)^{e(d)} \left(\frac{*}{d}\right)$ is a Dir. character modulo $4d$. $\chi \pmod{4d}$

Def. (Kronecker characters): $\chi_d := (-1)^{e(d)} \left(\frac{*}{d}\right)$ d -th Kronecker char.

$$\chi_{2d} := (-1)^{w(x)} \chi_d \rightarrow \pmod{2d}$$

$$\chi_{-d} := (-1)^{e(x)} \chi_d \rightarrow \pmod{4d}$$

$$\chi_{-2d} := (-1)^{e(x)} (-1)^{w(x)} \chi_d \rightarrow \pmod{8d}$$

21 Prop. D square-free $\Rightarrow \chi_D$ is the unique quadratic Dirichlet character mod $4|D|$ s.t. $\forall p$ odd, $\chi(p) = 1$

$\chi_D(p) = \left(\frac{D}{p}\right)$ (exercise).
says how p factors in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$.

22 Prop. D square free, d its odd positive part $\Rightarrow \chi_D = \chi_d$ except if $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ in which case is d . (exercise)

23 Thm. Let χ be a quadratic Dirichlet character (primitive). Then, $\exists D$ square free s.t. $\chi = \chi_D$, defined modulo its conductor.

The proof uses:

24 Lem. 1. Let f be f_χ for some quadratic Dirichlet. Assume f odd $\Rightarrow f$ square-free.

25 Lem. 2. $f = f_\chi$, χ quadratic $\Rightarrow f$ is free of 2^4 .

26 Lem. 3. $f \neq 2f'$, f' odd. Indeed: f' odd $\Rightarrow G(2f') \cong G(f)$.

IV. Ramification

III. 1. Trace and norm

In ANT, we define, for a number field K , the trace $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ and $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$, norm. It's possible to generalise this definition to an extension L/K of number fields. One has to use the set $\{\sigma: L \hookrightarrow L^{\text{alg}} \mid \sigma|_K = \text{Id}\}$ of K -embeddings of L . Task for the student:

27 Prop: $\forall \theta \in L$, $\varphi_\theta: L \rightarrow L$, $\varphi_\theta \in \text{End}_K(L)$. Choose $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subset B$ a K -basis of L , $A_\theta = M(\varphi_\theta, B) \Rightarrow$ The $\det(A_\theta)$ and $\text{Tr}(A_\theta)$ do not depend on B . Moreover:

- a) $N_{L/K}(\theta) = \det(A_\theta)$
- b) $T_{L/K}(\theta) = \text{Tr}(A_\theta)$.

28 Prop: $\forall \theta_1, \theta_2 \in L$, $\alpha \in K$, it holds:

a) $T_{L/K}(\theta_1 + \theta_2) = T_{L/K}(\theta_1) + T_{L/K}(\theta_2)$

b) $T_{L/K}(\alpha\theta) = \alpha T_{L/K}(\theta)$

c) $T_{L/K}(\alpha) = n\alpha$, $n = [L:K]$.

d) $N_{L/K}(\theta_1\theta_2) = N_{L/K}(\theta_1)N_{L/K}(\theta_2)$

e) $N_{L/K}(\alpha\theta) = \alpha^n N_{L/K}(\theta)$.

29 Prop: $K \subseteq K' \subseteq L$ extension of number fields \Rightarrow

$$T_{L/K}(\theta) = T_{K'/K}(T_{L/K'}(\theta)) \quad \text{and} \quad N_{L/K}(\theta) = N_{K'/K}(N_{L/K'}(\theta)).$$

III.2 Ramification and inertia indices

Let L/K be an extension of number fields. In an analogous manner as in ANT, $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K) : \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_L = \mathfrak{P}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{P}_g^{e_g}$, $\mathfrak{P}_i \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L)$, $1 \leq i \leq g$.

Def: Ramification index of \mathfrak{P}_i over \mathfrak{p} is $e_i = e(\mathfrak{P}_i | \mathfrak{p}) = e_{\mathfrak{P}_i | \mathfrak{p}}$.

Notice that $\mathcal{O}_K / \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L / \mathfrak{P}_i$ is a finite field extension of $\text{deg} \leq n$.

Def: Inertia degree (or residual degree) is $f_i = f(\mathfrak{P}_i | \mathfrak{p}) = f_{\mathfrak{P}_i | \mathfrak{p}} = [\mathcal{O}_L / \mathfrak{P}_i : \mathcal{O}_K / \mathfrak{p}]$.

Prop: In an analogous manner as in ANT: $\sum_{i=1}^g e_i f_i = n$.

The Galois case:

Suppose L/K Galois of degree n . In particular, $G := \text{Gal}(L/K)$ has order n .

Prop: G acts transitively on the set of primes of \mathcal{O}_L over \mathfrak{p} .

Proof: exercise. Hint: check that $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, g\}$, $\forall \sigma \in G$, $\sigma(\mathfrak{P}_i)$ is a prime of \mathcal{O}_L over \mathfrak{p} and that $\{\sigma(\mathfrak{P}_i) \mid \sigma \in G\} = \{\mathfrak{P}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq g\}$.

Cor: L/K Galois \Rightarrow all the ramification indices are equal, say, to e . All the inertia degrees are equal, say, to f . Hence $n = g \cdot e \cdot f$.

Proof: exercise.

Decomposition and inertia group

Def: Let $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ over \mathfrak{p} . The isotropy subgroup of G $D(\mathfrak{P} | \mathfrak{p}) = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(\mathfrak{P}) = \mathfrak{P}\}$ is called decomposition group of $\mathfrak{P} | \mathfrak{p}$.

We have a map $\varphi: D(\mathfrak{P} | \mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathcal{O}_L / \mathfrak{P} \mid \mathcal{O}_K / \mathfrak{p})$
 $\sigma \mapsto \varphi(\sigma) = \bar{\sigma}: \mathcal{O}_L / \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L / \mathfrak{P}$
 $x + \mathfrak{P} \mapsto x^\sigma + \mathfrak{P}$.

Def: $\text{Ker}(\varphi) = \{\sigma \in D(\mathfrak{P} | \mathfrak{p}) \text{ s.t. } \forall x \in \mathcal{O}_L, x^\sigma - x \in \mathfrak{P}\}$ is called inertia group of $\mathfrak{P} | \mathfrak{p}$, denoted $I(\mathfrak{P} | \mathfrak{p})$.

23

Thm: The extension $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{P} / \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P}$ is Galois and ψ is surjective.

Proof: a) The extension is Galois. Enough to check normality:

$\forall \beta \in \mathcal{O}_L$, $f_\beta(x) := \prod_{\sigma \in G} (x - \sigma(\beta)) = \text{Irr}(\beta, K) [\mathcal{O}_L : K(\beta)]$ (check!) Now, $f_\beta(x)$ mod \mathfrak{P} decomposes in linear factors over $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{P}$ and $\text{Irr}(\beta + \mathfrak{P}, \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P})$ divides it $\Rightarrow \text{Irr}(\beta + \mathfrak{P}, \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P})$ decomposes in linear factors over $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{P}$.

\Rightarrow All the conjugates over $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P}$ of all the ^{elements} conjugates of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{P}$ are in $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{P} \Rightarrow$ the extension is normal.

b) Take $\bar{\beta} \in \mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{P}$ primitive element. $\forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{P} | \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P})$, σ is determined by its image on $\bar{\beta}$. Take $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_L$ s.t. $\beta \equiv \bar{\beta} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}$ and $\beta \in \sigma^{-1}(\mathfrak{P})$ $\forall \sigma \in G \setminus D(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{P})$ i.e. $\beta \equiv 0 \pmod{\sigma^{-1}(\mathfrak{P})} \forall \sigma \notin D(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{P})$. \leftarrow CRT.

$f(x) := \prod_{\sigma \in G} (x - \sigma(\beta))$. The non-zero roots modulo \mathfrak{P} are $\sigma(\beta) + \mathfrak{P}$, $\sigma \in D(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{P})$. \Rightarrow all the conjugates of $\bar{\beta} + \mathfrak{P}$ are reduction mod \mathfrak{P} of conjugates of $\sigma(\beta)$ for $\beta \Rightarrow$ given $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{P} | \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P}) \exists \sigma \in D(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{P})$ s.t. $\tau = \bar{\sigma}$.

Denote $p := \text{char}(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P})$ s.t. $q = p^f = |\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P}| = \mathbb{F}_q$.

We have: $1 \rightarrow I(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{P}) \rightarrow D(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{P}) \xrightarrow{\text{Galois}} \text{Gal}(\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{P} | \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{P}) = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^f} | \mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow 1$

Obs: Let \mathfrak{P}' be another prime $\Rightarrow \exists \sigma \in G \mid \mathfrak{P}' = \sigma(\mathfrak{P}) \Rightarrow D(\mathfrak{P}'|\mathfrak{P}) = \sigma^{-1} D(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{P}) \sigma$.

$\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in G$, $\sigma_1 \sim \sigma_2$ iff $\sigma_1 \sigma_2^{-1} \in D(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{P}) \Leftrightarrow \sigma_1^{-1} \sigma_2^{-1}(\mathfrak{P}) = \mathfrak{P} \Leftrightarrow \sigma_1(\mathfrak{P}) = \sigma_2(\mathfrak{P})$. $\Rightarrow |G/D(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{P})| = g = \frac{n}{D(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{P})} = \frac{g \cdot e \cdot f}{D(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{P})} \Rightarrow |D(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{P})| = e \cdot f \Rightarrow |I(\mathfrak{P}|\mathfrak{P})| = e \cdot \#$

III.3 the discriminant

Def: Let $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ be a K -basis of L . $\Delta[B] = \det(T_{L|K}(b_i b_j)) \in K$.

obs: $\Delta[B'] = c^2 \Delta[B]$, $c = M(B, B')$.

\cdot If $B \in \mathcal{O}_L \Rightarrow \Delta[B] \in \mathcal{O}_K$.

Def: $\Delta := \Delta[\mathcal{O}_L | \mathcal{O}_K] = \langle \Delta[B] \mid B \in \mathcal{O}_L \text{ } K\text{-basis of } L \rangle_{\mathcal{O}_K}$

$\Rightarrow \Delta = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_g^{e_g}$, $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

Thm: Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. \mathfrak{p} ramifies in $\mathcal{O}_L \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \mid \Delta$.

\star For $K = \mathbb{Q}$, see "Discriminants and ramified primes" (Keith Conrad):
<https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/blurbs/gradnumthy/disc.pdf>.