

# Communication acoustics Ch 10: Basic psychoacoustic quantities

Ville Pulkki and Matti Karjalainen

Department of Signal Processing and Acoustics Aalto University, Finland


**September 13, 2022** 

## This chapter

- Pitch
- Loudness
- Timbre
- Duration

### **Pitch**


- "that auditory attribute of sound according to which sounds can be ordered on a scale from low to high" ANSI
- Pitch strength



- 1. pure tone
- 2. low-pass harmonic tone (7 harmonics)
- 3. low-pass harmonic tone (all harmonics)
- AM modulated tone
- (mod. freq 250 Hz,  $f_0 = 1$ kHz)
- 5. band-pass complex tone (f<sub>0</sub> = 250 Hz, harmonics btw 1 and 3 kHz)
- 6. band-pass noise (200 300 Hz)
- 7. low-pass noise (cutoff 250 Hz)
- 8. comb-filtered noise (delay 4 ms)
- AM modulated noise (mod.freq 250 Hz)
   high-pass noise (cutoff 250 Hz)

## JND of pitch


JND of frequency of two successive sinusoids



Adapted from Sek and Moore (1995)

## Pitch perception versus duration of sound


- Minimum length required for pitch perception
- Already very short tone bursts lead into perception of pitch



Adapted from Burck et al. (1935)


## Pitch perception versus duration of sound

 The accuracy of pitch perception is enhanced during first 200 ms of sound




## Mel frequency scale

- 'adjust the pitch of the test tone to be two times higher than the reference tone'
- Mel scale derived




### **Musical scale**

- Musical pitch scale is logarithmic
- (Approximate) frequency ratios: Octave = 2:1, Fifth = 3:2, Fourth 4:3, Third 5:4



## **Detection of frequency modulation**

Curves have different carrier frequencies



Adapted from Demany and Semal (1989)

### Virtual pitch

- lacktriangle Although lowest harmonics are missing, a pitch is perceived to  $f_0$
- Compare: telephone band 300Hz + 4kHz, although male voice  $f_0 < 100$ Hz

### **Pitch theories**


- Peak of activation at basilar membrane?
- Some kind of autocorrelation process after cochlea?
- Pitch theories have been debated for decades
- Neither theory explains fully perceptual phenomena

### Loudness

- 'that attribute of auditory sensation in terms of which sounds can be ordered on a scale extending from quiet to loud" ANSI
- One of fundamental quantities in psychoacoustics
- Approach loudness with simple tests, and continue to more complicated ones


### Loudness

- Task: adjust sound to be 'twice as loud', lots of subjects, repetitions, and SPLs tested
- Define loudness scale with unit [sone]




### Loudness level

- Loudness level defined with reference values located at 1 kHz with 10 dB spacing in the sound pressure level
- Unit: [phon]




## Connection between sound pressure, loudness and loudness level

- N = loudness [sone]
- L<sub>L</sub> = loudness level [phon]
- $N = 2^{(L_L-40)/10}$
- $L_{\rm L} = 40 + 10 \log_2(N)$
- $N = k \cdot (p p_0)^{0.6}$
- Doubling loudness in sones means 10phon (= 10dB @ 1kHz) change in loudness level (or SPL)




## Loudness of tone in presence of noise

- White noise as masker with different SPLs
- Loudness decreases fast when approaching the masking threshold




## Loudness with broad-band signals

- Loudness is often affected, if the spectrum of sound changes and SPL is kept equal
- This was already seen in basic loudness listening test with sinusoids and noise



### Loudness with two sinusoids

- The level of a reference tone adjusted to match the loudness with a pair of tones
- Frequency difference shown in x-axis




## A theoretic view of loudness process

- Input signal S(f) is warped to auditory frequency scale z
- $S'(z) = S[f(z)] \frac{df}{dz}$
- Signal also spreads in frequency due to frequency masking, B(z) is spreading function
- $\blacksquare E(z) = S'(z) \star B(z)$
- Compute specific loudness N'(z), kind of loudness function over frequency
- $N'(z) = c E(z)^{0.23}$
- Integrate over frequency for loudness N
- $N = \int_0^M N'(z) dz$


## **Excitation pattern and specific loudness**

- a) excitation patterns. b) Specific loudness.
- (dashed) sinusoid, (continuous) noise




### Difference threshold of loudness

- The just noticeable level of amplitude modulation, about 1 dB with noise
- Why 1kHz value decreases continuously? Similar FM-tone JND result did not show this kind of result.



### Difference threshold of loudness


- AM causes periodic change of width of excitation pattern, especially at higher levels
- With FM this is not available
- Explains why larger level causes smaller difference thresholds



Adapted from Fastl and Zwicker (2007)


## JND threshold of amplitude modulation

Curves for tones with two levels and noise



### Loudness vs duration of sound

- The dependence of loudness level on duration
- Tone burst with frequency of 2kHz and a sound pressure level of 57dB




### **Timbre**

- When two sounds have the same pitch, loudness, and duration, timbre is what makes one particular sound different from another
- Humans recognize the sound source mostly with timbre
- Closest physical explanation is magnitude spectrum and its variation with time
- Also phase spectrum has an effect
- Complex phenomenon, not well understood or modeled
- Simple specific loudness models explain only steady noise-like sounds

### Perceived duration of sound

- 1-kHz tone at an SPL of 60 dB with duration shown in x-axis
- Adjust the duration to "twice" or "half"
- Subjective duration [dura]



### References

These slides follow corresponding chapter in: Pulkki, V. and Karjalainen, M. Communication Acoustics: An Introduction to Speech, Audio and Psychoacoustics. John Wiley & Sons, 2015, where also a more complete list of references can be found.

References used in figures:

Burck, W., Kotowski, P., and Lichte, H. (1935) Die horbarkeit von laufzeitdifferenzen. Elek. Nachr.-Techn., 12, 355 362.

Fastl, H. and Stoll, G. (1979) Scaling of pitch strength. Hearing Res., 1(4), 293 301.

Fastl, H. and Zwicker, E. (2007) Psychoacoustics – Facts and Models. Springer.

Sek, A. and Moore, B.C. (1995) Frequency discrimination as a function of frequency, measured in several ways. J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 97, 2479 2486.

Canteretta, E.C. and Fridman, M.P. (eds)(1978) Handbook of Perception. Academic Press.

