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## This chapter

■ Subcategories of timbre

- Sharpness
- Fluctuation strength
- Impulsiveness
- Roughness
- Tonality
- Sensitivity to magnitude and phase spectra
- Music
- Perceptual organization of sound


## Sharpness

■ "How sharp is the sound?"

- Sharpness of narrowband noise (solid line), high-pass filtered noise (upper cutoff is at 10 kHz ), and low-pass filtered noise (lower cutoff is at 200 Hz )
■ Unit: [acum]


Adapted from Fastl and Zwicker (2007)

## Sharpness, modeling

- Sharpness can be modeled as weighted average of specific loudness (auditory spectrum)

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=0.11 \frac{\int_{0}^{42 \mathrm{ERB}} N^{\prime}(z) g(z) z d z}{\int_{0}^{42 \mathrm{ERB}} N^{\prime}(z) d z}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$



## Fluctuation strength

- Amplitude and frequency modulation of sounds cause perception of "fluctuation"


Adapted from Fastl and Zwicker (2007)

## Impulsiveness

- There is no clearly defined psychoacoustic concept of impulsiveness
- Impulsiveness is related to rapid onsets in signal
- If the repetition rate of impulses is $>10-15 \mathrm{~Hz}$, roughness is perceived
- In noise control, impulsiveness is considered to increase hearing damage risk compared to non-impulsive sound of same energy


## Roughness

■ Fast (> 15 Hz ) modulation is perceived as roughness [asper]

- Addition of two tones of different frequencies creates envelope fluctuation
- Unit of roughness is asper

■ Roughness of 1 kHz tone, $60 \mathrm{~dB}, 100 \%$ AM modulated at 70 Hz equals to 1 asper.

- When the frequency difference increases, tones start to segregate
- When the frequency difference is larger than a critical band, roughness disappears



## Roughness

- Roughness for different carrier frequencies as a function of AM modulation frequency with $100 \%$ modulation.


Adapted from Fastl and Zwicker (2007)

## Tonality

- Tonality (tonalness) = sound exhibits voiced component(s), periodicity
- Non-tonal sound is noise-like, non-periodic
- Do not mix with musical term "tonal"
- Non-tonal (noisy) signal masks a tonal one more easily than vice versa
- Measurement necessary especially in lossy audio coding
- Tonality with varying modal density, log. distribution of frequencies (approx/critical band):
Sounds with N partials per critical band:
$10 / C B \quad 20 / C B \quad 40 / C B \quad 80 / C B$


## Sensitivity to magnitude spectrum

- Basic: magnitude spectrum of ear canal signal analyzed by the cochlea
- Complex adaptation processes
- Listeners try to adapt actively to acoustic transmission channel
- Similarly to visual after-effect, a negative picture is seen on black background after looking intesively to an image
- Adaptation in periphery?
- Adaptation in central brain processing?
- Mechanisms are not well known


## Sensitivity to phase spectrum

- Noise-like signals: no sensitivity
- Many harmonic signals: no sensitivity

■ Certain "peaky" signals are sensitive

- Vowel voices, trombone, trumpet, sawtooth wave, impulse train

Zero-phase cosine signal


Randomphase version


## Sensitivity to phase spectrum

- Effects can not be listened to in rooms
- Room reverberation destroys anyway phase spectrum
- Headphone listening, depends also on phase response of headphones
- Time-domain peaks in signal may lead into "buzzyness"

■ Low-frequency phase alteration changes perceived level of bass, "bassiness"

- Seems to be a distance cue in human localization process


## Examples



Sound examples. $f_{0}=100 \mathrm{~Hz}, 100$ partials ( $100 \ldots 10000 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).

Saw Saw upside down Pulses Random phase

## Response of cochlea to sawtooth with phase modifications

■ Sawtooth, Sawtooth time-inverted


Adapted from Laitinen et al. 2013

## Response of cochlea to sawtooth with phase modifications

- Random phase, 3kHz sine polarity inverted


Neural firing rate


Time domain


Neural firing rate


Adapted from Laitinen et al. 2013

## Consonance and dissonance of harmonic tones

- Roughness due to interaction of partials in a sound contribute to dissonance
- Ratios of small integers are most consonant (just intonation)
- Starting point: Consonance vs. dissonance of two sinusoids


Adapted from Plomp and Levelt (1965)

## Consonance and dissonance of harmonic tones

- A harmonic tone contains a number of partials
- If the partials of different tones are too close -> added dissonance



## Consonance and dissonance of harmonic tones




## Consonance and dissonance of harmonic tones

- Level of consonance depending on separation btw two harmonic tone complexes


Adapted from Plomp and Levelt (1965)

## Consonance and dissonance of harmonic tones

- Demos with different intervals

Fifth $3 / 2 \quad$ Fifth $\sqrt[(7 / 12)]{2}$
Dim. fifth $\sqrt[(6 / 12)]{2}$
Fourth 4/3 Fourth 4/3
Third 5/4 Third $\sqrt[(5 / 12)]{2}$

## Scales, tuning

- Just intonation, triads tuned as 4:5:6 and octaves 2:1
- Pythagorean scale, greatest number of pure fifths and octaves.
- equal temperament, pure octave is divided into 12 semitones having frequency ratio $\sqrt[12]{2} \approx 1.05946$


Adopted from Rossing et al., 2001

## Scales, tuning

|  | do | re | mi | fa | so | la | ti | do2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Just: | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Pyth: | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Equ: | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |

## Scales, tuning

|  | do | re | mi | fa | so | la | ti | do2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Just: | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Pyth: | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Equ: | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |


|  | do | re | mi | fa | so | la | ti | do2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Just: | 100 |  | 125 |  |  |  |  | 200 | do-mi |
| Pyth: | 100 |  |  |  | 150 |  |  | 200 | do-so |
| Equ: | 100 | 112.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 200 | do-(di)-re |

## Scales, tuning

|  | do | re | mi | fa | so | la | ti | do2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Just: | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Pyth: | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Equ: | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |


|  | do | re | mi | fa | so | la | ti | do2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Just: | 100 |  | 125 |  |  |  |  | 200 | do-mi |
| Pyth: | 100 |  |  |  | 150 |  |  | 200 | do-so |
| Equ: | 100 | 112.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 200 | do-(di)-re |


|  | do | re | mi | fa | so | la | ti | do2 | re2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Just: | 100 |  | 125 |  | 150 |  |  | 200 |  | do-so |
| Pyth: | 100 | 112.5 |  |  | 150 |  |  | 200 | 225 | so -re2-re |
| Equ: | 100 | 112.2 | 126.0 |  |  |  |  | 200 |  | re-(ri)-mi |

## Scales, tuning

|  | do | re | mi | fa | so | la | ti | do 2 | re2 | mi 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J | 100 | 112.5 | 125 |  | 150 |  | 187.5 | 200 | 225 |  | so-ti-re2 |
| P | 100 | 112.5 | 126.6 |  | 150 | 168.8 |  | 200 | 225 | 253.2 | re-la-mi2 |
| E | 100 | 112.2 | 126.0 | 133.5 | 149.8 |  |  | 200 |  |  | mi - so |

## Scales, tuning

|  | do | re | mi | fa | so | la | ti | do2 | re2 | mi 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J | 100 | 112.5 | 125 |  | 150 |  | 187.5 | 200 | 225 |  | so-ti-re2 |
| P | 100 | 112.5 | 126.6 |  | 150 | 168.8 |  | 200 | 225 | 253.2 | re-la-mi2 |
| E | 100 | 112.2 | 126.0 | 133.5 | 149.8 |  |  | 200 |  |  | mi - so |


|  | do | re | mi | fa | so | la | ti | do 2 | re 2 | mi 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J | 100 | 112.5 | 125 | 133.3 | 150 | 160 | 187.5 | 200 | 225 |  | do2-la-fa |
| P | 100 | 112.5 | 126.6 | 133.3 | 150 | 168.8 | 189.9 | 200 | 225 | 253.2 | mi-ti, do2-fa |
| E | 100 | 112.2 | 126.0 | 133.5 | 149.8 | 168.2 | 188.8 | 200 |  |  | so-ti |

- Rhythm is a complex concept which refers to different temporal structures in music
- Heart beat, walking
- Some concepts in rhythm
- Note value, length of note in time
- Measure or bar: A rhythmic 'placeholder' which indicates a prototype repeated rhythm in music
- Tempo: The speed of presentation
- Beat: The accenting of specific temporal positions in a bar.
- Not very well understood dimension of music


## Perceptual organization of sound

- The hearing mechanism involves certain inborn capabilities to analyse the summed sounds of the auditory environment arriving from multiple sources with or without room reflections and reverberation
- Auditory events are connected to internal representations of sources based on many cues
- Spectral, temporal cues
- Direction, distance cues

Pattern formation

Gestalt laws of grouping

- Principle of proximity.
- Principle of similarity.
- Principle of closure. In the case of a pure tone being interrupted sequentially by bursts of white noise, the human auditory system assumes the pure tone continues uninterrupted during the noise bursts.
- Principle of continuity. For example, the smooth pitch variations and smooth formant changes in speech imply to the listener that the speech originates from the same speaker and is organized into a single stream.
- Principle of common motion. If sensory elements move in the same direction at the same rate, they tend to be grouped as parts of a single stimulus.
- Principle of belongingness.


## Sound Streaming and Auditory Scene Analysis

- Formation of melody line
- With slow tempo, notes with very large intervals are bound to single stream
- With fast tempo, several streams are formed with same notes


Demos

Slow tempo Fast tempo
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