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# Session 6: <br> Vehicle routing problems 35E00750 Logistics Systems and Analytics 

## Learning objectives

- Understand the Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP) formulations
- Understand Vehicle Routing Problem (VRP) formulations
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## Course contents

## Part 1. Background

1. Understanding supply chains
2. Achieving supply chain fit
3. Mathematical programming for Logistics \& SCM
4. Guest lecture: Janne Kilpua

## Part 2. Transportation

5. Urban logistics
6. Vehicle routing problems

## Part 3. Facilities

7. Warehousing technologies
8. Guest lecture: Vesa Hämetvaara (Konecranes)
9. Facility location problems

## Part 4. Data

10. Digital logistics
11. Logistical drivers and metrics

## Vehicle Routing Problems (VRP)

The problem of constructing routes from/to one or several depot(s) to visit a number of geographically dispersed locations to pick up or deliver goods
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## Vehicle Routing Problems (VRP)
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The problem of constructing routes from/to one or several depot(s) to visit a number of geographically dispersed locations to pick up or deliver goods


## Vehicle Routing Problems (VRP)

The problem of constructing routes from/to one or several depot(s) to visit a number of geographically dispersed locations to pick up or deliver goods

So that:

- Each city is visited exactly once by exactly one vehicle
- All routes start and end at a depot


## Single Vehicle Route: <br> Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP)

- The Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP) seeks a route with a minimum total length visiting every point in a given set exactly once.
- More formal description:
- "Suppose a salesman would like to visit several cities. Find the shortest route that visits each city exactly once and returns the salesman back to where they started"


## TSP Networks



## TSP Networks



## Symmetric TSP

- The distance between all pairs of two cities ( $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ ) is the same in both direction



## Asymmetric TSP

- Distances may differ between the $i-j$ and $j$-i direction
- Paths may not exist in both directions $i-j$ and $j-i$


## TSP: LP Formulation <br> (Variables and Parameters)

- What are the variables?
- If tour uses the arc from city $i$ to city $j$
- $x_{i j}=1$ if your passes from city $i$ to city $j$
- $x_{i j}=0$ otherwise
- Indicate the valid range of the variables
- $x_{i j}$ are binary (o or 1 ) variables
- Denoted as $x_{i j} \in\{0,1\} \forall i, j$

- Parameters
- $N$ : set of nodes
- $d_{i j}$ : Travel distance of arc $(i, j)$


## TSP: LP Formulation <br> (Objective FUNCTION)

## - Objective function

- Minimize route length
- Minimize $10 x_{12}+2 x_{13}+2 x_{15}+10 x_{21}+2 x_{24}+2 x_{26}+$ $2 x_{31}+2 x_{35}+8 x_{34}+2 x_{42}+8 x_{43}+2 x_{46}+2 x_{51}+2 x_{53}+$ $10 x_{56}+2 x_{62}+2 x_{64}+10 x_{65}$
- Or in summation form:

$$
\operatorname{Min} \sum_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N} d_{i j} x_{i j}
$$


where $d_{i j}$ denotes the length of the arc between city $i$ and city $j$

## TSP: LP Formulation (Constraints)

## - Formulate the constraints

- For each city $i$, there is exactly one city that is its predecessor:

$$
\sum_{j} x_{j i}=1, \forall i \in N
$$

- For each city $i$, there is exactly one city that is its successor:

$$
\sum_{j} x_{i j}=1, \forall i \in N
$$



## TSP: LP Formulation (Constraints)

## - Example (for the given network):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j \in N} x_{j i}=1, \forall i \in N \\
& x_{21}+x_{31}+x_{51}=1 \\
& x_{12}+x_{42}+x_{62}=1 \\
& x_{13}+x_{43}+x_{53}=1 \\
& x_{24}+x_{34}+x_{64}=1 \\
& x_{15}+x_{35}+x_{65}=1 \\
& x_{26}+x_{46}+x_{56}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\sum_{j \in N} x_{j i}=1, \forall i \in N
$$

$$
x_{12}+x_{13}+x_{15}=1
$$

$$
x_{21}+x_{24}+x_{26}=1
$$

$$
x_{31}+x_{34}+x_{35}=1
$$

$$
x_{42}+x_{43}+x_{46}=1
$$



$$
x_{51}+x_{53}+x_{56}=1
$$

$$
x_{62}+x_{64}+x_{65}=1
$$

## TSP: LP Formulation (Constraints)
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- So far, we have introduced two sets of constraints
- Every node $i$ must have a predecessor node
- Every node $i$ must have a successor node
- Now, consider this solution

- It satisfies the two sets of constraints
- Every node has exactly one predecessor and exactly one successor
- But it is NOT a valid TSP tour!
- Remember: TSP tour must be a route, i.e., the salesman must be able to start at one of the cities, visit all other cities, and come back to the city where they started.
- So, we need more constraints.
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## TSP: LP Formulation (SECs)

- We need subtour elimination constraints (SECs) to prevent solutions that contain subtours
- At least one arc that leaves one of the nodes 1, 3, 5 and enters one of the nodes 2,4 , or 6 must be in the solution.
- In this network, only arcs 1-to-2, 3-to-4, and 5-
 to-6 apply
- Mathematically
- $x_{12}+x_{34}+x_{56} \geq 1$



## TSP: LP Formulation (SECs)

- But what about this one
- Once we add the constraint $x_{12}+x_{34}+x_{56} \geq 1$ to the formulation, this solution

is not feasible anymore.


- Or this one

- These solutions satisfy all the constraints defined so far, including $x_{12}+x_{34}+x_{56} \geq 1$. But they are still NOT valid TSP tours.


## TFC: LP Formulation (SECs)
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## - The SECs need to be defined so that they prevent ALL POSSIBLE SUBTOURS!

- To guarantee, this, we need to define SECs for every node subset.
- Remember:
- $N$ is the set of all nodes (cities) in the network.
- When we write $S \subset N$, it means that $S$ is a subset of set $N$.
- For example, if $N=\{1,2,3,4\}$ and $S=\{1,2\}$, then $S \subset N$.
- SECs defined mathematically as follows.

$$
\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in N \backslash S} x_{i j} \geq 1, \quad \forall S \subset N: 2 \leq|S| \leq|N|-1
$$

There must be at least one arc leaving a node in $S$ and entering a node outside of $S$. These constraints must be defined for all subsets $S$ that contain at least two nodes (because a node subset with a single node cannot be a subtour) and at most the number of nodes minus 1 node.
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## TSP: LP Formulation (SECs)

- For every node subset $S$ such that $2 \leq|S| \leq|N|-1$,

$$
\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in N \backslash S} x_{i j} \geq 1
$$

must hold.
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## Recall: Subsets

- As an example, let $N=\{1,2,3,4\}$.
- This set $\boldsymbol{N}$ has 4 elements, and therefore it has subsets containing o, 1, 2, 3, and 4 elements
- O-element subset: $\varnothing$ \{empty set $=$ a set with no elements in it $\}$
- 1-element subsets: $\{1\},\{2\},\{3\}$, and $\{4\}$
- 2-element subsets: $\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{1,4\},\{2,3\},\{2,4\}$, and $\{3,4\}$
- 3-element subsets: $\{1,2,3\},\{1,2,4\},\{1,3,4\}$, and $\{2,3,4\}$
- 4-element subset: \{1,2,3,4\} (This is the set itself. Each set is a subset of itself.)
- A set with 4 elements has, in total, $2^{4}=16$ subsets.
- We want the subsets of $\boldsymbol{N}$ with at least 2 and at most $|\boldsymbol{N}|-\mathbf{1}=\mathbf{3}$ elements for the SECs (specified by the expression $\forall S \subset N: 2 \leq|S| \leq|N|-1$ ).
- Thus, we want 2-element and 3-elemt subsets
- If there were, for example, 5 elements in $N$ (instead of 4), then we would need to define SECs for all 2element, 3-element, and 4-element subsets of $N$.
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## TSP: Formulation (SECs)

- Example: $N=\{1,2,3,4\}$

- Which subsets S to define these constraints?
- $S \subset N: 2 \leq|S| \leq|N|-1$
- $|N|=4$. So, all 2-node and 3-node subsets must be considered.
- The subsets and the corresponding SECs
- $S=\{1,2\} \Rightarrow x_{13}+x_{14}+x_{23}+x_{24} \geq 1$
- $S=\{1,3\} \Rightarrow x_{12}+x_{14}+x_{32}+x_{34} \geq 1$
- Do the same for $S=\{1,4\}, S=\{2,3\}, S=\{2,4\}$, and $S=\{3,4\}$.
- $S=\{1,2,3\} \Rightarrow x_{14}+x_{24}+x_{34} \geq 1$
- $S=\{1,2,4\} \Rightarrow x_{13}+x_{23}+x_{43} \geq 1$
- Do the same for $S=\{1,3,4\}$ and $S=\{2,3,4\}$.

$S=\{1,3\}$


## Complete LP Formulation

$$
\operatorname{Min} \sum_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N} d_{i j} x_{i j}
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{j \in N} x_{i j}=1, & \forall i \in N \\
\sum_{j \in N} x_{j i}=1, & \forall i \in N \\
\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in N \backslash S} x_{i j} \geq 1, & \forall S \subset N: 2 \leq|S| \leq|N|-1 \quad \text { successor constraint } \\
x_{i j} \in\{0,1\}, & \forall i, j \in N
\end{array}
$$

Aalto University
School of Business

## Classical Vehicle Routing Problem (VRP)

- Construct a set of delivery routes for vehicles stationed at a central depot which service all nodes and minimizes routing costs
- From the TSP to a more general VRP
- Construct multiple routes/routes for multiple vehicles
- Divide stops over routes
- Find sequence for each route
- Input
- Customers/demand is known and deterministic
- Vehicle capacity known
- $M$ vehicles
- Objective function
- Minimize the total travel distance (time/length), and/or routing costs, and/or the number of vehicles needed
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## VRP (Possible Additional Constraints)

- Fleet
- Size of fleet, homogenous or heterogeneous fleet, vehicle capacity, maximum driving time
- Nature of demand
- Pickups or deliveries, size of loads, due dates, spreading of locations, precedence relations
- Underlying network
- Single or multiple depot(s), directed or undirected arcs, number of arcs on which vehicles can travels
- ...
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## Thank you!

## Questions?

Dr. Tri M. Tran
tri.tran@aalto.fi

