Complex Analysis, MS-C1300

(1)

Björn Ivarsson Y326 (bjørn.ivarsson@aalto.fi) Assistants: David Adame-Carrillo Jaime Pardo Matilde Costa Lectures: Monday S& Thursday on Campus FOffice Hours (Fridays? 9-10?) 14-15? Exercise Classes: All on campus Hand-in S exercises before Via My Courses Meeting 2: Thursday or Friday Deadline: Wednesday 23:59 You can collect all in all 6 bonus points Exam: Max 24 points (Pass: 12 points) Book: An Introduction to Complex Function Theory, Palka Complex Analysis by Ahlters is also Very good but maybe more challenging.

The Complex Number System We know that there are polynomials p: R -> R that have no roots. Basic example: $p(x) = x^2 + 1$ have no real root since $x^2 \ge 0$. However if we add a number i with the property it = -1 to the real numbers The polynomial $x^2 + 1$ have a root. When we add i to the red numbers then we get all numbers of the form Z = X + iy $X, y \in \mathbb{R}$ This might seem a little suspicious but can be done formally as follows. Definition: The field of complex numbers I consists of ordered pairs (x,y) x,y elR with addition $(x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2)$

(2)

and multiplication $(x_1, y_1) \cdot (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2, x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1)$ That is we can view complex numbers as points or vectors in IR² and addition is ordinary vector addition $(x_{2},y_{2}) = (x_{1}+x_{2},y_{1}+y_{2})$ Ve return to what multiplication means geometrically soon. First we stake a theorem Theorem | The complex number system is a field Since. • $\forall z_1 w \in \mathbb{C}$: $z_1 w = w_1 z_1$ (1)· #2,weC : Zw=w-2 • $\forall z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{C}$: $(z_1 + z_2) + z_3 = z_1 + (z_2 + z_3)$ • $\forall Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 \in \mathbb{C} : (Z_1, Z_2), Z_3 = Z_1' (Z_2, Z_3)$ · JOeC: YzeC: Z+O=Z • J1eC : YzeC : z.1=z · YzeC : J(-2)eC : Z+(-2)=0 · \ +ze C \101: J z leC: z.z - = 1 • $\forall z_1, z_2, w \in \mathbb{C}$: $w \cdot (z_1 + z_2) = w \cdot z_1 + w \cdot z_2$

(3)

"In a field addition and multiplication is commutative. We can subtract by any number. We can divide by any nord-zero number. Addition and multiplication is distributive"

These properties are easily verified (except maybe the existence of z^{-1}). We see that 0 = (0,0) and 1 = (1,0)Given z= (x,y) = (0,0) one checks that $\overline{z}^{-1} = \left(\frac{\chi}{\chi^2 + y^2}, \frac{-y}{\chi^2 + y^2}\right)$ However, calculating with complex numbers becomes easier when we realize that $(0,1) \cdot (0,1) = (-1,0) = -(1,0) = -1$ So i = (0, 1). (Remember $i^2 = -1$) Therefore we use X+iy as short hand for (x,y) = x(1,0) + y(0,1)y z = x + ig part

Using this shorthand and remembering
$$i^2 = -1$$

we easily calculates
 $Ex = (1+4i) + (-2+i) = -1+5i$
 $(1+4i)(-1+i) = -2+1i-8i+4i^2 = -6-7i$
Lets get some geometric intuition for multiplication
 $Z = X + iy$ $Pe(Z) = X = real parts of Z$
 $Tan(Z) = Y = imaginary part of Z$
 $Z = X + iy$ $Pe(Z) = X = real parts of Z$
 $Z = X + iy$ $Pe(Z) = X = real parts of Z$
 $Tan(Z) = Y = imaginary part of Z$
 $Z = X + iy$ $Pe(Z) = X = real parts of Z$
 $Z = X + iy$ $Pe(Z) = X = real parts of Z$
 $Tan(Z) = Y = imaginary part of Z$
 $Z = X + iy$ $Pe(Z) = X = real parts of Z$
 $Z = X + iy$ $Pe(Z) = X = real parts of Z$
 $Z = X + iy$ $Pe(Z) = X = real parts of Z$
 $Z = X + iy$ $Pe(Z) = X = real parts of Z$
 $Z = X + iy$ $Pe(Z) = X = real parts of Z$
 $Z = X + iy$ $Pe(Z) = X = real parts of Z$
 $Z = X + iy$ $Po(X + iy) = X + y^2$
 $Also $Re(z) = \frac{Z+Z}{Z}$ and $Im(z) = \frac{X-Z}{Zi}$
 $Define the modulus of Z (or absolute value $f(Z)$
 $as |Z| = \sqrt{ZZ^2} = \sqrt{X^2 + y^2}$
 $Also $Re(z) = \frac{Z+Z}{Z} = \sqrt{X^2 + y^2}$
 $Also To Polar form$
 $Ve say that θ is an argument of Z$
 $Votice that if θ is an argument of Z thun
 $\theta + 2\pi n$, $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ also is $-1$$$$$

Take two complex numbers
$$Z$$
 and w
and write them in polar form.
 $Z = |Z|$ ($\cos \theta + i \sin \theta$)
 $w = |w|$ ($\cos \phi + i \sin \theta$)
 $W = |w|$ ($\cos \phi + i \sin \theta$) ($\cos \phi + i \sin \phi$) =
 $= |Z||w|$ ($\cos \theta + i \sin \theta$) ($\cos \phi + i \sin \phi$) =
 $= |Z||w|$ ($(\cos \theta \cos \phi - \sin \theta \sin \phi) + i (\cos \theta \sin \phi + \sin \theta \cos \phi)$) =
 $= |Z||w|$ ($(\cos \theta + \phi) + i \sin \theta + \sin \theta \cos \phi$)) =
 $= |Z||w|$ ($(\cos (\theta + \phi) + i \sin (\theta + \phi))$)
So you get the absolute value of the product
it you multiply the absolute values of the factors
and an argument if add arguments of the factors.
 $|f - Z = |Z|$ ($\cos \theta + i \sin \theta$) it is clear that
 $Z = |Z|$ ($\cos (-\theta) + i \sin (-\theta)$) since

 \bigcirc

Also, since
$$ZZ^{-1} = 1$$
 (if $Z\neq 0$) we see that

$$Z^{-1} = \frac{1}{Z} = |Z|^{-1} (\cos(-\theta) + i\sin(-\theta)).$$
We also have $\frac{Z}{W} = \frac{ZW}{WW} = \frac{1}{|V|^2} ZW$

$$\frac{Z}{W} = \frac{1-i}{1+i} = \frac{(1-i)(1-i)}{(1+i)(1-i)} = \frac{1-i-i+i^2}{1^2-i^2} = \frac{-2i}{2} = -i$$
De Moivre's Formula
Assume $Z = |z| (\cos\theta + i\sin\theta)$. Then

$$Z^n = |Z|^n (\cos\theta + i\sin\theta)^n = |z|^n (\cos[n\theta) + i\sin(n\theta))$$
In particular we notice

$$(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta)^n = \cos(n\theta) + i\sin(n\theta)$$
(This holds for $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...$)
We can use the polar form to solve certain
polynomial equations.

$$EX = Find all Z such that Z^2 = i.$$
Write i in polar form.

 $\overline{7}$



$$\begin{array}{rll} k & \text{See that} \quad i = \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 4\pi n\right) + i \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + 4\pi n\right) \text{ and} \\ & \text{we hold for } \mathcal{Z} = r \left(\cos\theta + i \sin\theta\right) & \text{such that} \\ r^2 = 1 & \text{and} & 2\theta = \frac{\pi}{1} + 4\pi n \cdot \text{. Therefore} \\ & r = 1 & \text{and} & \theta = \frac{\pi}{1} + i\pi n \cdot \text{; } n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & &$$

(

So for example i has 20 roots
$$(\frac{12}{2} + \frac{12}{2}i)$$
 and
 $-\frac{12}{2} - \frac{12}{2}i)$ but one principal root $(i = \frac{12}{2} + \frac{12}{2}i)$.
Exponentials and logarithms
We want to define c^2 where $z \in C$. Recall
from calculus Euler's formula $e^{it} = cosy + ising$, yell
This formula can be justified using theory coming later
but for now we use it as a definition. We also define
 $exp(z) = e^2 = e^{x+iy} = e^x \cdot e^{iy} = e^x (cosy + isiny)$
 $E_x = e^{it} = e^0 (cosn + isint) = -1$
 $e^{4+it} = e^1 (cost + isint) = ci$
Assume $z = x + iy$ and $w = u + iv$. We get
 $e^2 \cdot e^w = e^x e^u (cosy + isiny) (cosv + isinv) =$
 $= e^{x+u} (cos(u+v) + i sin(u+v)) = e^{z+v}$
Also $e^{-z} = (e^{z})^{-1} = \frac{1}{e^z}$
We also see that $|e^z| = e^{Re(z)}$ and $arg(e^2) = Im(z)$,
 $(+2\pi vi)$

Now lets study the equation
$$e^{Z} = W$$

(Solutions should be log to)
Assume $w \neq 0$ and write $w = |w| (\cos(Arg_{v}))$
tisin (Arg_{v}))
Since $|w| > 0$ we write $|w| = e^{Arlwl}$ using
the ordinary $An : |R_{>0} \rightarrow |R$.
 $e^{Z} = e^{X} (\cos y + i \sin y) = e^{Anlwl} (\cos(Arg_{w}) + i \sin(Arg_{w}))$
We see that any Z of the form
 $Z = Anlwl + i (Arg_{w} + 2\pi n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
Solves $e^{Z} = W$ when $w \neq 0$
(when $w = 0$ no solutions)
We are forced to accept that in general the
Arg_{v} + i (Arg_{v} + 2\pi n) ; $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
 $If Z + 0$; $\log Z = An|Z| + i (Arg_{v} + 2\pi n)$; $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
 $Remember$
 arg_{v} is a set

We also define the principal logarithm:
If z = 0 then
$$Log(z) = Ln |z| + i Arg(z)$$

Ex $Log(-1) = Ln |-1| + i Arg(-1) = 0 + i \pi = i \pi$
 $log(-1) = Ln |-1| + i arg(-1) = i (\pi + Lnn)$
 $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
Recall from calculus that $x^{\alpha} = e^{a h x}$ is
(one usay) of defining x^{α} when $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Now
that we have the logarithm defined for
 $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ we can define z^{ω} for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ we can define z^{ω} for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ we can define z^{ω} for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ we can define z^{ω} for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ we can define z^{ω} for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ we can define z^{ω} for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ we can define z^{ω} for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ we can define z^{ω} for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ will be multi-valued in general
(since $z^{\omega} := e^{\omega \log (z)}$)
Ex $i^{2} = e^{i \log i} = e^{i (Lu(1) + i (\frac{\pi}{2} + 3n \cdot u))} = e^{-(\frac{\pi}{2} + 2nn)}$
 $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
Of course one could choose for example the principal
logginthm and get a single-valued power.

 (Π)

This what we will do in this course and when we
write (and say nothing else)
$$Z^{W}$$
 we mean the
principal w-power of Z . That is $Z^{W-2}e^{i\omega \log(2)}$.
Some connects : . If no Z that any n-power is
equal to the principal n-power
 $Z^{n} = e^{n(4n|Z) + iArg(Z)} = e^{n(4n|Z) + iAr(Z)}$
 $Lo Z$
. $NZ = Z^{N-2}$
FAll "problems" stem
from the body that
 $(Z^{W})^{Z} = Z^{W}$ to complex exponents!
Algion) = Arg(Z) + Arg(W)
is take in some capes j
 $\overline{Z} \cdot \overline{W} = \sqrt{Z}$ in general
Think about the following "paradox"
 $-1 = i^{2} = \overline{(-1)} \cdot \overline{(-1)} = \sqrt{1} = 1$
WRONG!
Observe: It is trave that $Z^{W}, Z^{W} = Z^{W_{1}-W_{2}}$

Ð