We define $\cos z = \frac{e^{iz} + e^{-iz}}{2}$ and $\sin z = \frac{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}}{2}$. for all ZEC. T LOSZ and Sinz are entire functions, Ex Verity that $\cos^2 z + \sin^2 z = 1$ for all $z \in C$ $\frac{\cos^{2} z + \sin^{2} z}{e^{4iz} + 2 + e^{-iz}} - \frac{\left(\frac{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}}{4}\right)^{2}}{4} = \frac{\left(\frac{e^{4iz} - e^{-iz}}{4}\right)^{2}}{4} = \frac{4}{4} = 1.$ Some consequences of the Cauchy-Riemann equitions First assume that $D \subseteq C$ is a ropen subset where any $Z, w \in D$ can be connected by a continuous curve. Such a set is called a domain (non-empty, open, connected (or equivalently) in this case peth-connected)

Assume that u: D > R satisfies U = uy = O for ell ZED. Then u is constant. Z u constant since ux=0 = u constant since ux=0 = u(2)=u(w) Γ However, we need to consider Z We can always hind a curve of this type ___ Remember D is a Jomain. Proposition 9 Assume f: D->C is an analytic Function, such that f'(2) = 0 for all zeD. Then Fis constant. Proof: Since $f'(z) = u_x + iv_x = 0$ we get $u_x = 0$ and $v_x = 0$ for all $z \in D$. Now CR-equation gives Vy = Ux = 0 and My = -Vx = 0. Therefore both u and V are constant. So f=u+iv is constant.

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \hline \begin{array}{c} \hline \begin{array}{c} \hline \begin{array}{c} \hline \begin{array}{c} Proposition | 0 & Suppose & f: D \rightarrow C & \text{is analytic} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} (and D & \text{is a domain}) & \text{and } \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} or & | f | & \text{is constant} & \text{Hum } f & \text{is constant.} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \hline \begin{array}{c} Proof: & | f & u & \text{is constant} & \text{Hum } u_u = v_u = 0 \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} and & u_u = -v_x = 0 & \text{Therefore } f & \text{is constant} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \left(Sinilar & \text{for } \mathcal{V} & \text{constant} \right) \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{Assume} & | f | & \text{is constant} & \text{We get } | f |^2 = u^2 + v^2 = c \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} | f & c = 0 & \text{we are done. } \text{Assume } c > 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{Then } & 2\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}_X + 2\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V}_X = 0 & \text{and} \\ & 2\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}_X + 2\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V}_X = 0 & \text{and} \\ & 2\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}_X + 2\mathcal{V}\mathcal{V}_X = 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} | f & \text{we use } & \text{He } (\mathcal{R} - \text{equations } \text{we } \text{qct} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} (u^2 + v^2)\mathcal{U}_X = 0 & - \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{Multiply } (x) & \text{by } \mathcal{V} & \text{and} \\ & add & \text{to } \text{qct} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{Multiply } (x) & \text{by } \mathcal{V} & \text{and} \\ \text{subtract } to & \text{qet} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Multiply } (x) & \text{by } \mathcal{V} & \text{and} \\ \text{subtract } to & \text{qet} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

(31)

Branches of inverse functions We have seen that many analytic functions f: U-+f(W) = C don't have single valued inverses (for example f(z)=z2) z_1 z_2 z_1 z_2 z_2 In this situation we cannot find f-1 such that $f \circ f^{-1}(w) = w$ and $f^{-1} \circ f(z) = z$. However, we can find many q: f(W) -> U such that fog(w)=w. Such a function is called a right-inverse. Most of these are very wild". If we require that g is continuous we get a "branch of the inverse". This cannot be defined on the whole of f(W) (unless I is injective (univalent popular in complex analysis books)) EX: 1(2)=22 To "build" a branch start at any point in the W-planer say w=1 and choose one of the pre-in oges z=1 or -1. Let's pick g(1)=1. Since g is required to be continuous this determines the values of g in a small disk around 1. This process can be continued to the whole plane minus a "branch cut"

What is a branch cut? tot 1 g is continuous in C minus the branch cut g is nothing but the principal square root (not continuous across the branch cuts). You get a different bronch if you choose a different simple curve starting at the origin ("branch point") and "ending at infinity" ولي ال Had you started with the choice g (1) = -1 you would have getter a different branch namely - 17 We will now see that branches of inverses gives us many new analytic functions. They are continuous (by definition) but are they analytic

Theorem II Suppose that f: U → C is an analytic function and that q is a branch of f' in a domain D Let $z_0 \in D$ and $u_0 = q(z_0)$, if (1) $f'(w_0) \neq 0$ then q is complex differentiable at z_0 and $q'(z_0) = \frac{1}{p_1} \frac{1}{(w_0)}$. (Therefore, if $f'(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(D)$, then q is analytic in D and $q'(z) = \frac{1}{f'(q(z))}$.) $g(D) = \{g(z); z \in D\}$ Proof: Let W=g(2) for ZED (q is injective so w is well-defined) Note that w=wo when z== (g injective) and $W = q(2) \rightarrow q(2_0) = W_0$ as $2 \rightarrow 2_0$ (g continuous) We get $\frac{g(z) - g(z_0)}{z - z_0} = \frac{g(z) - g(z_0)}{f(g(z_0)) - f(g(z_0))} = \frac{\omega - w_0}{f(\omega) - f(w_0)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{f'(w_0)}$ and $g'(z_0) = \frac{1}{f'(w_0)}$ E_X Let q(z) be a branch of the square root (That is a branch of f' where $f(w) = w^2$.) We get $f'(w) = \lambda w$ and $g'(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\omega_0} = \frac{1}{2g(z_0)}$ when $g(t_0) = \omega_0 \neq 0$ For example, if g(z) is the principal square root $\sqrt{2}$ we get $g'(z) = \frac{1}{2(z)} \quad \text{when } z \neq 0$ (and Rez > 0) if Imz = 0)

We could also use
$$f(g(z)) = g(z)^2 = z$$
 and
differniale $\partial g(z)g'(z) = 1$ (we need to
have $g'(z) = xists$) and $g'(z) = \frac{1}{zg(z)}$ if $g(z) \neq 0$
 \overline{Ex} Let $g(z)$ be a branch of the logarithm.
Thue $eg^{(z)} = z$ and
 $g'(z) eg^{(z)} = 1$ or $g'(z) = \frac{1}{eg^{(z)} - z}$
So for example , $\frac{1}{dz} \log (z) = \frac{1}{z}$.
It is reasonable to wonder if it is possible
to invert some analytic function f
Near $f(z)$ even though $f'(z_0) = 0$. It turns
out that the answer is no. It is interesting to
note that the situation for $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is difficult
hats discuss this without too much detail.
Say $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is given an $f(x,y) = (u(xy), v(xy))$
We know from Differential and Integral (alculus 2
that $u: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is (in the real sense) differentiable
at (x_0, y_0) if there is $A_1 B \in \mathbb{R}$ such that
 $u(x, y) = u(x_0, y_0) + A(x-x_0) + B(y-y_0) + D((x-x_0)^2 + (y-y_0)^2)$
Similarly $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is real differentiable at (x_0, y_0)
if there is a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ such that
 $f(x, y) = f(x_0, y_0) + \begin{pmatrix} K & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$

 $\begin{array}{c} H & \overline{f} \quad is \quad real \quad di \, Heren \, tiable \quad in \quad an \quad open \quad set \quad containing \\ (K_0, Y_0) \quad then \\ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ c & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_X(x_0, y_0) & u_y(x_0, y_0) \\ v_X(x_0, y_0) & v_y(x_0, y_0) \end{pmatrix} = d\overline{f}(x_0, y_0) \end{array}$ If we view $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ on $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ using f = univeand we see that real differentiability is not enoughto imply complex differentiability. The CR-equationsimply that $df(x_{0},y_{0}) = \begin{pmatrix} u_{x} & u_{y} \\ -u_{y} & u_{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$. Throther way of saying this is that dt has to be complex linear and not only real linear The Inverse Function Theorem sugs $f:\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is invertible near points where det df + 0. If $f:C \to C$ is analytic then det $df = |f'(z)|^2 \ge 0$. It is possible that $f: |\mathbb{R}^2 \to |\mathbb{R}^2$ is invertible near points where det df = 0 but for analytic Functions this is not the case. So for analytic Functions they are insertible near points w=f(2) exactly when f'(2) = 0.

(36)