BSc Thesis and Seminar Meeting 3

Miri Stryjan & Nelli Valmari (Aalto University)

November 9, 2023

Today's meeting

- 1. Tips on writing based on common pitfalls observed in last years.
- 2. Tips on (preparing for) presenting your final draft in the seminar.
- 3. Tips on being an opponent in the seminar and on commenting on other student's work.
- 4. Time for questions.

General issues that often come up

- Internal structure not logical.
- RQs that are tricky to answer
- References missing or citation incorrect
- Arguments based on emotional appeal
- Arguments based on appeal to anonymous authority
- Failure to discuss methods, assumptions, data.
- Failure to discuss channels of effects.

Logical internal structure

Important that the final thesis has a clear and logical internal structure. Say what you are going to do, and do it! If an additional focus emerges during the work, go back and update the official research question and title of the thesis.

- it's OK to rephrase the Research question later.
- Make sure all concepts introduced in the background/theory section are actually referred back to in the main paper. If you ended up not using a concept, you may want to remove the text about it.
- Pay attention to the distinction between theory and empirics and try to separately discuss them. If you have a theory part and empirical part, try to connect back to the theory when you go through the empirical papers/material.
- When organizing: Think about the role in each subsection in the big picture of YOUR thesis. Some things that are interesting in order to get a complete picture may not be necessary in the framework of your thesis, and can then be excluded (or moved to a footnote and mentioned briefly).

Logical internal structure

Relatedly, students often start out from research questions that are tricky to answer, . e.g.

- ▶ What are the most effective ways to reduce / increase X...?
- How much does A affect B
- what is the best way to solve problem X

Often better to focus on outlining what are the ways that A may affect B, and why, what are the pros and cons about a certain type of policy tool. . .

References & remember to cite

General claims, claims about disputed facts, claims about the whole literature in a field - Here you do need references and perhaps you can even quote. Examples:

- Many people argue that... It is agreed that...
 - ightharpoonup \Rightarrow a better way to say this is
- Intuitive thinking makes it logical that...
 - ightharpoonup \Rightarrow a better way to say this is
- ► The consensus among economists is ...
 - here you need to refer to concrete sources, ideally several review articles by credible and senior economists. This is also a bit of a risky statement.

Arguments & wording

Problematic arguments

- Diversity matters in itself ⇒ cite theories or philosophies that claim this.
- Climate change is an undisputed fact ⇒ this is not the case, so should be rephrased.
- "Evidently, these policies have led to a lot of suffering" ⇒ you need to show this "evidence", support for this by citing others.

Choice of words - be precise. Do not appeal to emotions - that is OK in journalism or an opinion piece, but not in an academic text.

- ▶ Words to avoid: terrible, huge, amazing, fantastic, tiny
- "Women are highly underrepresented in social mobility databases, which distorts the findings as highly patriarchal."
- ▶ "This is a horrible idea for equality"

See also these resources on sloppy reasoning and fallacies.

References & citing

Case: A whole paragraph or section is (heavily) based on the same text. **Dilemma**: repeatedly referring to same text gets annoying, but you need to be transparent that these are not your own thoughts.

Solutions:

- write something like: Author X outlines the following four arguments in favor of Y, and then have a bullet point list with each of the arguments. That way the whole bullet point list is based on the same paper.
- ▶ formulate the text so it is clear that you are not sharing your own thoughts but the thoughts of a specific author. E.g. you could write: "Becker (2020) argue that.... He also says that.... Further... " After a few sentences like that you can again add a reference but:
- ▶ Instead of putting the full reference (e.g. Becker 2020) repeated times, if the same source is referenced several times in a row, one can write (ibid.) the second and following times.

Systematic literature review

A good literature review compares academic papers systematically to be able to discuss how and why their findings differ. Especially when results are mixed, systematically discussing why that might be is important.

- ► Are some of the differences between studies due to data or method choices? Or due to different settings or setups?
- ▶ Is the empirical strategy/ the assumptions of the paper plausible/credible? Why or why not? Write enough so that the reader can also make a judgement.
- what factors do the authors emphasise as the drivers behind the effect observed? i.e. What are the mechanisms behind the effects?

Final draft presentation

In your presentation, make sure to:

- ► Teach us something!
- Focus on what you have learned so far
- Explain why your topic and question is interesting and relevant (not just to you personally)
- Explain what you do and why in a clear way: an outsider to your particular topic should be able to understand.
- Explain properly your method of analysis/theoretical framework.
- ▶ It is not: status report, the making-of-my-thesis documentary

Presenting research

A good layout

- 1. Introduction
 - Start with the research question
 - Explain why it is interesting / important
 - May require background info (institutional details, terminology)
 - Summarize what you found so far.
- 2. The main part of the presentation
 - backs up the result you summarized at the start
 - discusses limitations
 - spends very little time on anything else

Please note that the presentation CANNOT exceed 12 minutes. So prioritize what to include.

► Too much material, too many slides

- ► Too much material, too many slides
 - ► Practice your talk with a timer ...better yet if you have friends who can listen
 - ► Separate spare slides from must-see slides

- ► Too much material, too many slides
 - Practice your talk with a timer ...better yet if you have friends who can listen
 - Separate spare slides from must-see slides
- Slides too crammed with text
 - Display points, not essays

- ► Too much material, too many slides
 - Practice your talk with a timer ...better yet if you have friends who can listen
 - ► Separate spare slides from must-see slides
- Slides too crammed with text
 - Display points, not essays
- Too little material

- ► Too much material, too many slides
 - ► Practice your talk with a timer ...better yet if you have friends who can listen
 - Separate spare slides from must-see slides
- Slides too crammed with text
 - Display points, not essays
- Too little material
 - Practice the talk with a timer and add material if needed
 - be prepared to answer questions in the seminar about the material on the slides.

- ► Too much material, too many slides
 - Practice your talk with a timer ...better yet if you have friends who can listen
 - Separate spare slides from must-see slides
- Slides too crammed with text
 - Display points, not essays
- Too little material
 - Practice the talk with a timer and add material if needed
 - be prepared to answer questions in the seminar about the material on the slides.
- ► Illegible copy-paste tables and figures
 - Slides usually require specialized versions

Presenting in the seminar

Reminder

Aalto University has created and collected resources to support students with presenting in public.

▶ Tips on what to think about before and during a presentation:

► Tips and thoughts on anxiety and stage fright:

Some additional resources and links will be posted on Mycourses under the *Presentations* section.

Acting as an opponent 1/3

Don't let the term "opponent" mislead you: The task of the opponent is to help the author to improve his/her manuscript

► In practice, this means giving constructive comments and asking helpful questions

Acting as an opponent 1/3

Don't let the term "opponent" mislead you: The task of the opponent is to help the author to improve his/her manuscript

► In practice, this means giving constructive comments and asking helpful questions

What to comment on? Some examples

Acting as an opponent 1/3

Don't let the term "opponent" mislead you: The task of the opponent is to help the author to improve his/her manuscript

In practice, this means giving constructive comments and asking helpful questions

What to comment on? Some examples

- Readability of the draft. Are there are arguments or (sub)sections that are difficult to follow?
- Structure of the draft and the discussion in the various (sub)sections. Is it logical?
- ► Assumptions. Does the author make assumptions that are implausible, or would some assumptions require more discussion?
- Weak arguments or missing points?
- ► Title or research question. Does the author answer the question s(he) poses in the title or in the introduction? Does the author answer the question convincingly?

Acting as an opponent 2/3

- ▶ Prepare for a 3–5 minutes presentation, without slides
- No need to give a summary of the study (this time)
- The audience should be able to follow the comments having seen the presentation
- Start with the most important points you want to discuss. It's unlikely that you have time for "would-be-nice-to-know" type of questions
- ▶ Be gentle when pointing out weaknesses
- Aim for constructive comments, i.e., comments that help the author to improve the draft

Acting as an opponent 3/3

- ▶ Be specific when suggesting improvements. For example, instead of saying "the discussion in section X is unclear" you may want to say "I didn't follow the discussion in section X, perhaps because it was based on an assumption that was introduced only after section X. So I suggest that ..."
- You don't need to provide solutions but are most welcome to do so
- ▶ Do not comment on language in your presentation (unless the text is unreadable). If you have red-penciled notes you may give them to the author after the presentation
- Positive feedback is allowed

Finnish Bachelor's Graduate Survey

The President's Management Team (PMT) have discussed how to increase the response rate for this survey. It's a survey that all graduating BSc students should respond, and the responses also have a direct impact on Aalto's funding.

To increase the response rate, all bachelor's thesis seminars are asked to reserve15-20 mins. lecture time to go through the attached slides and also to give students time to answer the questionnaire (preferably closer to the end of the seminar). Students are able to fill in the questionnaire when they have completed at least 160 cr.