


Article review guidelines

There are nine articles to be reviewed during the course and for each review, the student is expected to:

- Synthesize the content of the article in their own words
- Reflect on the content of the article

The length of the article review is restricted to one page (free format) and must be submitted to MyCourses submission box prior to the beginning of the next class (graphical illustration of the process below).

During the course, the student must participate to one panel discussion and prepare the present their likes and dislikes about the article. The assignment of students to these panels can be found below.



Grading criteria

Measurable attributes	0-Insufficient	1-Sufficient	2	3-Good	4	5-Excellent
Synthesis of the content of the article	Does not provide any summary of an article.	Author provides limited information about an article with referencing only some paragraphs, not following the logic of an article.		Author provides a sufficient summary of an article following its logic and mentioning all important paragraphs.		Author provides full summary of an article, clearly follows its logic, identifies key theoretical and practical contributions.
Reflection on learning experience and outcomes	Does not provide any information about the learning experience or outcomes.	Author provides scarce description of the learning experience for the article. For example: "I learned a lot", "My opinion changed", "I liked the discussion" without elaborating on details of the process.		Author provides some description of the learning experience for the article. The way of thoughts is described but without additional details, examples, or clear explanation. The logic on how opinion evolved is unclear.		Author provides clear explanation of what thoughts they had before reading and discussing the article, what are the final thoughts, and how the learning evolved.

Students assigned to panel discussions

Article 1	
Paula	Allinen
Tommaso	Andaloro
Aaro	Angerpuro
Rebekka	Anttila
Eelis	Arokoski
Anselmi	Aumo
Matias	Berglund
Katarzyna	Brander
Qiyue	Chu
Tuomo	Filatow
Xiuming	Guan
Emmi	Gutvilig
Tomi	Haapakoski
Joonas	Hakamäki

Article 2	
Iida	Hakola
Miili	Halkka
Jarno	Halme
Michaela	Hartwich
Ridwan	Hashi
Pinja	Hirvinen
Ahti	Holli
Waqif	Hossain
Melissa	Huuskonen
Eetu	Hyyryläinen
Kassymkhan	Intykbayev
Kim	Jokinen
Linda	Jokinen

Article 3	
Hanna	Juntunen
Erkka	Jussila
Minerva	Kannisto
Jeremias	Katajamaa
Olli	Kauppinen
Heta	Kenttämää
Elias	Kerttula
Shradha	Khanal
Kirill	Kisko
Konrad	Kivekäs
Essi	Kivelä
Jan	Kivijärvi
Sofia	Kontro Rosales
Kare	Korhonen

Article 4	
Julita	Koski
Jaakko	Kuivanen
Oula	Kuure
Linh	La
Mirko	Laine
Eetu	Laitinen
Oskari	Lamberg
Linda	Lamponen
Siiri	Latola
Valtteri	Lausala
Trang	Lê Forsell
Leo	Lehtinen
Emmi	Lehto

Article 5	
Oliver	Leino
Ruut	Linnapuomi
Zheyuan	Liu
Joel	Liukkonen
Kalle	Lounela
Emilia	Lumio
Niklas	Länsiö
Raiymbek	Manarbek
Simal	Maqsood
Mira	Merinen
Veikko	Milton
Viktor	Musijenko
Hanna-Mari	Mustaniemi
Ville	Mäntysalo

Article 6	
Mariia	Nedelkina
Jonatan	Nuutinen
Minttu	Ojanaho
Jarno	Oksanen
Tuomas	Orhanen
Ifrah	Osman
Oona	Paloheimo
Jere	Pankka
Antti	Pentikäinen
Victor	Peterson
Tuomas	Piilonen
Leeni	Piipponniemi
Riikka	Pirskanen

Article 7	
Teemu	Pitkänen
Kari	Puustinen
Belinda	Puutio
Nuutti	Pyysiäinen
Tiia	Rantanen
Eino	Rask
Heidi	Rautamaa
Tuukka	Retva
Juho	Ristimäki
Jacek	Rzepny
Eevi	Saari
Otto	Saارين
Ella	Salo

Article 8	
Walter	Segerstam
Juulia	Sillanpää
Eero	Suikkanen
Aleksi	Suuronen
Jenna	Tiainen
Huy	To
Valtteri	Tuominen
Vilho	Tuomisto
Emmi	Turunen
Nea	Vaalavirta
Teemu	Valkonen
Sini	Valmari
Antti	Viilo

Article 9	
Daniel	von Plato
Jasmin	Vulli
Matthias	Vuoreneimo
Aleksanteri	Vuoristo
Yijuan	Wei
Paul	Westerlund
Runze	Wu
Ji	Yang
Man	Yao
Ihsan	Yilmazkurtdag
Ciara	Yrjö-Koskinen
Naiyue	Zhu

Review article		Panel date	Reflection paper due date
Article 1	Eisenmann, T., Parker, G. & Van Alstyne, M.W. (2006). "Strategies for Two-Sided Markets", Harvard Business Review, October 2006, pp. 92-101	28.2.2024	4.3.2024
Article 2	Cusumano, M.A., Yoffie, D.B. & Gower, A. (2020). "The Future of Platforms", MIT Sloan Management Review	4.3.2024	6.3.2024
Article 3	Constantiou, I. Marton, A. & Tuunainen, V.K. (2017). "Four Models of Sharing Economy Platforms", MIS Quarterly Executive, Dec 2017 (16:4)	6.3.2024	11.3.2024
Article 4	Blohm, I., Leimeister, J.M. & Krcmar, H. (2013). "Crowdsourcing: How to Benefit from (Too) Many Great Ideas", MIS Quarterly Executive, December 2013 (12:4)	11.3.2024	13.3.2024
Article 5	Otto, B., & Jarke, M. (2019). Designing a multi-sided data platform: findings from the International Data Spaces case. Electronic Markets, 29(4), 561-580.	13.3.2024	18.3.2024
Article 6	Rowe, F., Jeanneret Medina, M., Journé, B., Coëtard, E., & Myers, M. (2023). Understanding responsibility under uncertainty: A critical and scoping review of autonomous driving systems. Journal of Information Technology, 02683962231207108.	18.3.2024	20.3.2024
Article 7	Davenport, T.H. & Ronanki, R. (2018), "Artificial Intelligence for the Real World", Harvard Business Review. Jan-Feb 2018.	25.3.2024	27.3.2024
Article 8	Iansiti, M. & Lakhani, K.R. (2017). "The Truth about Blockchain", Harvard Business Review, January-February 2017	27.3.2024	29.3.2024
Article 9	Zuboff, S. (2015), "Big other: surveillance capitalism and the prospects of an information civilization", Journal of Information Technology (2015) 30, 75-89.	10.4.2024	12.4.2024