

Lecture 2

Let K be a number field and S a finite set of places or F local field and

$$\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(K), \quad G = G_{K,S} \text{ or } G_F.$$

Goal: a lift of ρ to a complete noetherian local ring A w/ residual field K is

$$\rho_A: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(A) \text{ s.t. } \begin{array}{ccc} \rho_A: G & \rightarrow & \mathrm{GL}_n(A) \\ & \searrow \rho & \downarrow \text{red} \\ & & \mathrm{GL}_n(K) \end{array} \quad \text{red} \circ \rho_A = \rho.$$

We want to understand all possible lifts of a given $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$. In

this lecture we make this precise.

A : noetherian local ring $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ unique max ideal. $A/\mathfrak{m} = K$, residual field.

A is complete if $A = \varprojlim_{n \geq 1} A/\mathfrak{m}^n$. Notice $\{\mathfrak{m}^n\} \leftarrow$ basis of open ideals (f. index) w/ profinite topology

e.g. \mathbb{Z}_p

Why is this interesting? They play a crucial role in Taylor-Wiles.

E/\mathbb{Q} with good reduction outside $S = \{p \mid p \mid \Delta_E\}$ we have $\rho_E: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$

p -torsion, $\rho_E: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow \rho_E: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. $\forall l \notin S$,

$I_l \subseteq G_{\mathbb{Q},l} \subseteq G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\rho_E(I_l) = \mathrm{Id}$ i.e. ρ_E unramified at $l \Rightarrow \rho_E: G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$

Later on: (Fricke-Shimura)

$f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic is weakly modular of weight k for $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$

if $\forall \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$, $f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^k f(z)$.

$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/p = \{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^n \Rightarrow f$ is modular if at $\gamma_i(\omega)$ it "satisfies smoothness

condition" $P = P_0(N) \Rightarrow f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n e^{2\pi i n z} \quad z \in \mathcal{H}$.

$\cos \pi$ if $n=0$.

1

$M_\kappa(N, R) \equiv$ modular forms of weight κ for $\Gamma_0(N)\Gamma_1(p)$ w/ coeffs in R .

$$p \nmid N \Rightarrow T_p f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} f\left(\frac{z+j}{p}\right) + p^\kappa f(pz)$$

$$p \mid N \Rightarrow U_p f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} f\left(\frac{z+j}{p}\right). \quad \text{Hecke operators.}$$

If f is T_p eigen-value $\Rightarrow a_p(f)f = T_p f$.
 $a_0(f) = 1$

Eichler-Shimura: f T_p -eigen $\nmid p \nmid N$, weight 2, level N (for $\Gamma_0(N)$) \Rightarrow

$K_f = \mathbb{Q}(\{a_n(f)\}_{n \geq 1})$ number field. \mathcal{O}_f ring of integers, $\nmid p$.

$$\Rightarrow \exists \rho_{f,p}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{f,p}) \text{ s.t. } \forall \ell \text{ outside finitely many } (\ell \nmid S = \{N, p\}).$$

$$\rho_{f,p}(\mathbb{I}_\ell) = \mathbb{I}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tr}(\rho_{f,p}(\Phi_\ell)) = a_\ell(f). \\ \det(\rho_{f,p}(\Phi_\ell)) = \ell.$$

The deformation functor (Nazar)

$\Pi \cong$ profinite G (will be Galois), $\rho: \Pi \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(K)$. Can we describe

all lifts to p -adically complete rings (suitable ones)?

Condition Φ_p : $\forall \Pi_0 \leq \Pi$ open of finite index \exists finite $\lambda: \Pi_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$
 continuous homomorphs.

e.g.: Φ_p or for $G_{\mathbb{Q}, \ell}$, $G_{\mathbb{Q}, S}$.

Def: The pro- p completion of a profinite group Π is $\Pi^{(p)} := \varprojlim_{N \text{ closed normal of } p\text{-power index}} \Pi/N$
 continuous.

The p -Frattini quotient of Π is the maximal abelian quotient of Π with exponent p : is Π/M abelian, $\exists \phi: \Pi \rightarrow \Pi/M$ cont, $p \text{Fr}(\Pi) = e$
 $\text{Fr}''(\Pi)$

s.t. is Π/N abelian, $\psi: \Pi \rightarrow \Pi/N$ cont, $p(\Pi/N) = e \Rightarrow \Pi/N \hookrightarrow \Pi/M$.

P.2.1, $\text{Fr}(\Pi)$ exists and its image $\Pi^{(p)} \rightarrow \text{Fr}(\Pi)$.

$\Pi/p\Pi$ abelian cont quotient of exp p + Zorn's lemma.

Lemma 2.1 Let Π_0 be profinite. TFSAE:

- i) The pro- p completion of Π_0 is topologically f.g.
- ii) The abelianisation of the pro- p completion is a \mathbb{Z}_p -module of finite rank.
- iii) $\text{Fr}(\Pi_0)$ is finite.
- iv) The set of cont. homomorphisms $\Pi_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ is finite.

Proof: A set of topological generators of the pro- p completion becomes a set of \mathbb{Z}_p -generators in the abelianisation and becomes a basis of

p -Frattini: (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3).

Any $\Pi_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ cont. factors through $\text{Fr}(\Pi_0)$ hence (3) \Rightarrow (4).

Finally: pro-finite Burnside:

If the image in $\text{Fr}(\Pi_0)$ of $\{g_1, \dots, g_r\} \subseteq \Pi_0^{(p)}$ is basis of the quotient

as vector space $\mathbb{F}_p \Rightarrow \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ topologically generate $\Pi_0^{(p)}$ (3) \Rightarrow (1) \neq

Note:

$\pi_0^{(p)} = \varinjlim \pi_0/N$ $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ generate a dense subgroup in the pro-finite topology:

$\forall a \in \pi_0^{(p)}$ $\exists f_1^{a_1} \dots f_n^{a_n} \in N$ (a_1, \dots, a_n) coherent.

$\forall N \Rightarrow$ in the abelianisation $\bar{a} / \bar{f}_1^{a_1} \dots \bar{f}_n^{a_n} \in \bar{N} \Rightarrow$ rk finite.
(a \mathbb{Z}_p -module)

$\pi_0^{ab}/M = \bar{\Gamma}(\pi_0)$ ~~is~~ p -exponent $\Rightarrow \bar{a}M$ generated by $\bar{f}_i M, \dots, \bar{f}_n M$ a_i red mod p

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ -vector space finite.

$\varphi: \pi_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ (3) \Leftrightarrow (4).

$\downarrow \nearrow$
 $\Gamma(\pi_0)$

Burnside: if the image of f_1, \dots, f_n in $\Gamma(\pi_0^{(p)})$ basis of \mathbb{F}_p -v.s \Rightarrow these

top. gen. of $\pi_0^{(p)} \#$

Now: start w/ $\bar{p}: \pi \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K)$, consider lift $p: \pi \rightarrow \text{Gal}(R)$

$\begin{matrix} \searrow \bar{p} & \downarrow \pi \\ & \text{Gal}(\pi) \end{matrix}$

$\mathcal{C} \equiv$ category of complete noetherian local rings w/ res. field K .

morphism: $f: R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ local homeomorphisms of complete noeth. local rings

inducing id on K .

$f(\mathfrak{M}_{R_1}) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_{R_2}$

coeff. rings.

$\mathcal{C}^0 \equiv$ artinian local w/ res. field K (\mathfrak{M} in artinian local \Rightarrow nilpotent hence

noetherian

Artinian: $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \dots$ stationary

$\mathcal{C}^0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$

\mathfrak{M} only max $\mathfrak{M} \supseteq \mathfrak{M}^2 \supseteq \dots$ stationary $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^n = 0$.

P.2.3. objects of \mathcal{C} are pro-objects of \mathcal{C}^0

R/\mathfrak{M}^n is Artinian.

P.2.4 topology of R/\mathfrak{M}^n is discrete

non-artinian element in \mathcal{C} : $W(K) =$ Witt vectors.

K finite \Rightarrow unique unramified extn of \mathbb{Z}_p whose residue field is K .

If $K = \mathbb{F}_p \Rightarrow W(K) = \mathbb{Z}_p$.

P.2.6 every coeff ring is an $W(K)$ -algebra, i.e. $W(K) \rightarrow R$.

P.2.7 R coeff ring $\rightarrow R \cong W(K)[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R/m^n$$

$$\Rightarrow W(K)/\pi W(K)^n \rightarrow R/m^n$$

Supp that P_p comes from a modular form $\Rightarrow \bar{P}_p$ not only defined / K but also

a particular lift to \mathcal{O} DVR that maybe is not $W(K)$.

We decide if we want to restrict to coeff rings which are \mathcal{O} -algebras.

$\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}$ i.e. complete noeth local w/ residual K .

$\mathcal{C}_\Lambda \equiv$ complete noeth. local Λ algebras w/ residual K

morphs: homs of coeff rings which are also Λ -algebra homomorphisms

$\mathcal{C}_\Lambda^0 \equiv$ artinian Λ -algebras / K .

$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{W(K)}$.

Def: $\pi: R \rightarrow K \rightsquigarrow \pi: GL_n(R) \rightarrow GL_n(K)$ $\Gamma_n(R) = \text{Ker} \pi: GL_n(R) \xrightarrow{\pi} GL_n(K)$

$\rho_1, \rho_2: \pi \rightarrow GL_n(K)$ are strictly equivalent if $\exists M \in \Gamma_n(R)$ s.t. $\rho_1 = M \rho_2 M^{-1}$.

$\bar{\rho}: \pi \rightarrow GL_n(K)$ residual rep. A deformation of $\bar{\rho}$ to R is a strict

equivalence class of lifts $\{M \rho M^{-1}, M \in \Gamma_n(R)\} \bar{\rho} \rightarrow \bar{\rho}$.

$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{\bar{\rho}}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$

deformation functor

$R \mapsto \{ \text{defts of } \bar{\rho} \text{ to } R \}$

$\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda, \bar{\rho}}: \mathcal{C}_\Lambda \rightarrow \text{Sets}$.

[3]

objects of \mathcal{C} are pro-objects of \mathcal{C}_0 : $R \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow R = \varprojlim R/\mathfrak{m}^k$
 $R/\mathfrak{m}^k \in \mathcal{C}_0$.

$\Rightarrow F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ $F(R/\mathfrak{m}^k)$ form an inverse system.

$F(R/\mathfrak{m}^k) \rightarrow F(R/\mathfrak{m}^{k'})$ $k' \leq k$.

$\Rightarrow F(R) \rightarrow F(R/\mathfrak{m}^k)$ compatible $\Rightarrow \exists$ canonical $F(R) \rightarrow \varprojlim F(R/\mathfrak{m}^k)$

Def. A functor F on \mathcal{C} is continuous if $\phi : F(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim F(R/\mathfrak{m}^k)$

Lemma 2.3 D and D_Λ are continuous functors.

$\Rightarrow D$ is completely determined by its values on \mathcal{C}^0 . (Schlessinger)

Relative version: P_A lift to A .

look only at deformations of \bar{P} which are defs of P_A . (to R s.t. $R \rightarrow A$)

i) Objects: coeff rings w/ A -augmentation ($R \rightarrow A$)

Maxim: A -augmented coeff rings (\wedge alg.) $\mathcal{C}(A)$

ii) strict equivalence: allow conj by matrices on $\text{Ker}(GL_n(R) \rightarrow GL_n(A))$

$\leadsto \mathcal{C}_\Lambda^0(A)$.

Rep functors : $h_R : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$
 $S \mapsto \text{Hom}(R, S)$ ring homs + comp.

F rep if $F \simeq h_R$, $D_{\bar{P}}(R) = \text{Hom}(R_{\bar{P}}, R)$.

Lemma 2.3 $D_{\bar{p}}, D_{\bar{p}, \Lambda}$ are continuous.

Proof: We work for $D_{\bar{p}}$. Recall: $GL_n(R) = \varprojlim_k GL_n(R/m^k)$; $\Gamma_n(R) = \varprojlim_k \Gamma_n(R/m^k)$.

obs: $GL_n(R/m^{k+1}) \rightarrow GL_n(R/m^k) \rightarrow 1$

$\Gamma_n(R/m^{k+1}) \rightarrow GL_n(R/m^k) \rightarrow 1$

We have to see that $D_{\bar{p}}(R) \cong \varprojlim_k D_{\bar{p}}(R/m^k)$ where $D_{\bar{p}}(R) \rightarrow \varprojlim_k D_{\bar{p}}(R/m^k)$

if def. were homomorphisms this would be

$\{p: \pi \rightarrow GL_n(R)\} \rightarrow \{p_k: \pi \rightarrow GL_n(R/m^k)\}$

obvious: but they are strict equivalence classes:

$\{M P M^{-1}\} \quad p: \pi \rightarrow GL_n(R)$
 $M \in \Gamma_n(R)$

The canonical map $D_{\bar{p}}(R) \rightarrow \varprojlim_k D_{\bar{p}}(R/m^k)$

maps $p = p_R$ def. of \bar{p} to R to $\{p_k\}$ where p_k is the def obtained

by reducing p to m^k and the conj. is for $M \in \Gamma_n(R/m^k)$.

To show that the canonical map is surjective we need to show that any coherent

$\{p_k\}$ comes from a deformation p to R . Enough to show that we can choose the

homomorphisms representing p_k so as to have a coherent sequence of homomorphs.

For $k=1$, $p_1 = \bar{p} \Rightarrow$ that's no choice.

Assume chosen r_1, \dots, r_k representing p_1, \dots, p_k . Let r' be any homomorph representing p_{k+1} .

$\Rightarrow \exists M_k \in \Gamma_n(R/m^k)$ s.t. $M_k^{-1} (r' \text{ mod } m^k) M_k = r_k$.

Choose lift M_{k+1} of M_k to $\Gamma_n(R/m^{k+1})$, set $r_{k+1} = M_{k+1}^{-1} r' M_{k+1}$ extends

the seq. to level $k+1 \rightsquigarrow \{r_k\}_{k \geq 1}: \pi \rightarrow GL_n(R/m^k)$ coherent this gives

$p: \pi \rightarrow GL_n(R) \text{ mod } m^k = p_k \quad p'_k = p'$ Canonical map is surj.

To prove injectivity: $f, f': \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R)$, $f_k \equiv \bar{f} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_k}$
 $f'_k \equiv \bar{f} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_k}$

and f_k, f'_k are strictly equivalent are strictly equiv $\forall k \Rightarrow f, f'$ strictly eq.

i.e. $\forall k \exists M_k \in \Gamma_n(R/\mathfrak{m}_k)$ s.t. $f_k = M_k^{-1} f'_k M_k$. Choose M_k s.t. $M_{k+1} \equiv M_k \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_k}$

no coherent, hence $M \in \Gamma(R)$, $f = M^{-1} f' M$.

Universal def ring

Assume $D_{\bar{p}}(R) = \text{Hom}(R_{\bar{p}}, R)$ and transforms well under hons.

$$\forall R_1 \rightarrow R_2 \Leftrightarrow \text{Hom}(R_{\bar{p}}, R_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R_{\bar{p}}, R_2)$$

$$f: R_{\bar{p}} \rightarrow R_1 \mapsto R_{\bar{p}} \rightarrow R_2 \rightarrow R_2.$$

Consider $R = R_{\bar{p}}$, $\text{Id}: R_{\bar{p}} \rightarrow R_{\bar{p}} \Rightarrow \exists p: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R_{\bar{p}})$ universal.

$$p: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R) \Rightarrow p \mapsto \varphi: R_{\bar{p}} \rightarrow R$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \text{p.univ}: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R_{\bar{p}}) \\ & & \downarrow p \\ & & \text{GL}_n(R) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ R_{\bar{p}} \end{array}$$

Given $p: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R) \exists \varphi: R_{\bar{p}} \rightarrow R$ s.t. $p = \varphi \circ \text{p.univ}$.

P.2.11. Representable \Rightarrow continuous.

thm: D representable by $R_{\bar{p}} \Rightarrow D_{\Lambda}$ representable by $R_{\Lambda} = R_{\bar{p}} \hat{\otimes}_{W(k)} \Lambda$.

$R \mapsto \text{Spec}(R)$. An A -valued point on $\text{Spec}(R)$ is $R \xrightarrow{\varphi} A$ $\ker(\varphi)$

$R \rightarrow A$ corresponds to deformations $\Rightarrow \text{Spec}(R) \cong \text{def. space of } \bar{p}$.