CS-E4530

Computational Complexity Theory

Janne H. Korhonen
Aalto University
Spring 2018

Lecture 5:

NP and Nondeterminism

Travelling Salesman Problem

Travelling Salesman Problem (Decision Version)

- **Instance:** Graph $G = (V, E)$ with positive edge weights, integer $W \geq 0$, a vertex $v \in V$.
- **Question:** Is there a tour starting from vertex v that visits all other vertices exactly once and then returns to v with weight at most W?



Travelling Salesman Problem

- **We don't know how to solve TSP in polynomial time**
- **We can verify the correctness of a solution:**
  - **Solution:** a tour $T = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$
  - **Verification:** check that T is a valid tour, T visits all vertices once, and has weight at most W
  - Verification takes polynomial time



k-colouring

Definition

Let k be a fixed positive integer, and let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected graph. A **k-colouring** of G is a function

$$c\colon V \to \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$$

such that for adjacent vertices v and u, we have $c(v) \neq c(u)$.

k-colouring problem (k-COL)

- **Instance:** Graph $G = (V, E)$.
- **Question:** Is there a k-colouring of G?

k-colouring

- **We don't know how to solve k-colouring in polynomial time**
- **We can verify the correctness of a solution:**
  - **Solution:** a k-colouring $c\colon V : \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$
  - **Verification:** check that for all edges $\{u, v\} \in E$, we have $c(u) \neq c(v)$
  - Verification takes polynomial time



Polynomial-time Verifiers

Polynomial-time Verifiers

Definition (Polynomial-time Verifier)

Let $L \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$. A **polynomial-time verifier** for L is a polynomial-time Turing machine M such that there is a polynomial function $p\colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that

- if $x \in L$, there is a string $u \in \{0,1\}^*$ with $|u| \leq p(|x|)$ so that $M\big((x,u)\big) = 1$, and
- if $x \notin L$, we have $M\big((x,u)\big) = 0$ for all $u \in \{0,1\}^*$.

If $M\big((x,u)\big) = 1$, we call u the **certificate** or **witness** for x.

Examples:
Polynomial-time Verifiers

Maximum Independent Set

Maximum independent set (MaxIS)

- **Instance:** Graph $G = (V, E)$, an integer $k \geq 1$.
- **Question:** Is there a set of vertices I such that $|I| \geq k$ and for all $u, v \in I$, we have that $\{u, v\} \notin E$?



Maximum Independent Set



- **Certificate:** A vertex set $I \subseteq V$ of size k ($O(k \log n)$ bits)
- **Verifier:**
  - Check that I has correct size
  - Check that for each edge $\{u, v\} \in V$, either $u \notin I$ or $v \notin I$

Subset Sum

Subset sum

- **Instance:** A list of integers $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ and an integer T.
- **Question:** Is there a subset of the input list that sums up to T?

- **Certificate:** A subset S of the input list
- **Verifier:**
  - Check that S is a valid subset of input
  - Compute the sum of S and check that it is T

Composite Numbers

Composite number

- **Instance:** An integer N.
- **Question:** Are there numbers p and q with $p, q \notin \{1, N\}$ such that $pq = N$? (That is, N is not a prime number.)

- **Certificate:** Numbers p and q ($O(\log |N|)$ bits)
- **Verifier:** Check that $pq = N$

Connectivity

Connectivity

- **Instance:** Graph $G = (V, E)$, two vertices s and t.
- **Question:** Is there a path from s to t in G?



- **Certificate:** A path $P = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k)$ in graph G
- **Verifier:** Check that P is a valid path from s to t

Connectivity

Connectivity

- **Instance:** Graph $G = (V, E)$, two vertices s and t.
- **Question:** Is there a path from s to t in G?



- **Certificate:** A path $P = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k)$ in graph G
- **Verifier:** Check that P is a valid path from s to t

NP

NP

Definition (NP)

The class NP is the class of all languages $L \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$ that have a polynomial-time verifier.

NP and Other Classes

- **Consider the following time complexity classes:**
  - **Polynomial time:** $\mathsf{P} = \bigcup_{d=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{DTIME}(n^d)$
  - **Exponential time:** $\mathsf{EXP} = \bigcup_{d=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{DTIME}(2^{n^d})$

Theorem

$\mathsf{P} \subseteq \mathsf{NP} \subseteq \mathsf{EXP}$

- **Proof (**$\mathsf{P} \subseteq \mathsf{NP}$**):**
  - Use length-0 string ϵ as certificate

- **Proof (**$\mathsf{NP} \subseteq \mathsf{EXP}$**):**
  - Try all possible certificates of length $p(|x|)$
  - $O(2^{p(n)})$ possibilities + checking

P vs NP

- **Do all polynomial-time verifiable problems also have polynomial-time algorithms?**
  - Formally: does it hold that $\mathrm{P} = \mathrm{NP}$?
  - This is the famous **P vs. NP question**
  - This seems to be a really difficult problem

- **In practice, we tend to assume** $\mathrm{P} \neq \mathrm{NP}$
  - We will use this assumption to prove that certain problems are difficult
  - Gives **conditional lower bounds**

Nondeterministic Turing Machines

Nondeterministic Turing Machines

- **We give an alternative definition of** NP **in terms of polynomial-time nondeterministic Turing machines**
  - NP stands for *nondeterministic polynomial time*
- **NDTM is an abstract model of computation**
  - Does not correspond to any physical method of computation
  - Purely a **conceptual tool**
  - Can be viewed as a abstraction of computation that tries all possible solution

Nondeterministic Turing Machines

- **A nondeterministic Turing machine M is a Turing machine with following special features:**
  - M has a special **accept state** q_{accept}
  - M has two **transition functions** δ_1 and δ_2
  - M does not have an output tape

- **An execution of nondeterministic Turing machine M:**
  - Start from the starting state as normal
  - Apply *either* δ_1 or δ_2 at each step
  - Halt when reaching q_{accept} or q_{h}

- **For each input, a NDTM has multiple possible executions**

Nondeterministic Turing Machines

Definition

A NDTM M decides language L in time $T(n)$ if:

- For any $x \in L$, there is at least one execution on input x that reaches state q_{accept}
- For any $x \notin L$, all executions halt without state q_{accept}
- All executions on input $x \in \{0,1\}^*$ run for at most $T(|x|)$ steps

Nondeterministic Time Complexity

Definition (Class NTIME)

Let $T : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a function. The class NTIME$(T(n))$ is the set of languages L for which there exists a nondeterministic Turing machine M and a constant $c > 0$ such that M decides L and runs in time $c \cdot T(n)$.

NP: Alternative Definition

Theorem

NP $= \bigcup_{d=1}^{\infty}$ NTIME(n^d).

- **Proof ($\bigcup_{d=1}^{\infty}$ NTIME$(n^d) \subseteq$ NP):**
  - Let $L \in \bigcup_{d=1}^{\infty}$ NTIME(n^d)
  - **We have:** $p(n)$-time NDTM M for L, where p is polynomial
  - **We want:** Polynomial-time verifier M' for L
  - For any $x \in L$, M has an accepting execution
  - **Certificate:** a string $u \in \{0,1\}^{p(|x|)}$
  - **Verifier:** Simulate M, use u to choose which transition function to use ($0 \to \delta_1$, $1 \to \delta_2$), check that the execution ends in q_{accept}

NP: Alternative Definition

Theorem

$\text{NP} = \bigcup_{d=1}^{\infty} \text{NTIME}(n^d)$.

- **Proof (**$\text{NP} \subseteq \bigcup_{d=1}^{\infty} \text{NTIME}(n^d)$**):**
  - Let $L \in \text{NP}$
  - **We have:** $p(n)$-time verifier M using certificates of length at most $q(n)$ for L, where p, q are polynomial
  - **We want:** Polynomial-time NDTM M' for L
  - Use nondeterminism to generate a certificate u of length at most $q(|x|)$ for input x
  - Concretely: δ_1 writes 0, δ_2 writes 1
  - Deterministically simulate verifier M with (x, u), move to q_{accept} if M accepts

NP-completeness

NP-hardness and NP-completeness

Definition

We say that a language L is NP**-hard** if for any language $L' \in$ NP, there is a polynomial-time reduction from L' to L.

Definition

We say that L is NP**-complete** if L is NP-hard and $L \in$ NP.

NP-hardness and NP-completeness

Theorem

- *If* L *is* NP*-hard and* $L \in$ P*, then* P $=$ NP*.*
- *If* L *is* NP*-complete, then* $L \in$ P *if and only if* P $=$ NP*.*

- **Proof (first statement):**
  - Recall: $L' \leq_p L$ and $L \in$ P implies $L' \in$ P
  - If L is NP-hard and $L \in P$, then for any language $L' \in$ NP we have $L' \leq_p L$ and thus $L' \in$ P
  - Thus it follows from the assumption that NP $\subseteq$ P

NP-complete Languages

- NP**-complete problems are the hardest problems in** NP
  - If we believe P $\neq$ NP, then NP-complete languages are not in P
  - Important technique for proving **conditional** lower bounds, as many interesting problems are in NP
  - On the other hand, if one NP-complete problem has a polynomial-time algorithm, then P $=$ NP

- **Typical application:**
  - We have a computational problem L we are interested in
  - Prove that L is NP-complete and conclude there is probably no polynomial-time algorithm

An NP-complete Language

Definition (TMSAT)

- **Instance:** A tuple $(\alpha, x, 1^n, 1^t)$, where $\alpha, x \in \{0,1\}^*$
- **Question:** Is there a string $u \in \{0,1\}^*$ with $|u| \leq n$ such that the Turing machine M_α outputs 1 on input (x, u) within t steps? $(*)$

- TMSAT $= \{(\alpha, x, 1^n, 1^t) : \text{Condition } (*) \text{ holds for } (\alpha, x, 1^n, 1^t)\}$

An NP-complete Language

Theorem

TMSAT *is* NP-*complete.*

- **Proof:** TMSAT has a polynomial-time verifier (TMSAT $\in$ NP):
  - Note that

$$\left|\llcorner(\alpha, x, 1^n, 1^t)\lrcorner\right| \geq |1^n| = n$$
$$\left|\llcorner(\alpha, x, 1^n, 1^t)\lrcorner\right| \geq \left|1^t\right| = t$$

  - That is, n and t are polynomial in $|\llcorner(\alpha, x, 1^n, 1^t)\lrcorner|$
  - **Certificate:** a string $u \in \{0,1\}^*$ with $|u| \leq n$
  - **Verification algorithm:** simulate Turing machine M_α on input (x, u) for t steps, check if it halts and outputs 1

An NP-complete Language

Theorem

TMSAT *is* NP-*complete.*

- **Proof:** TMSAT is NP-complete:
  - Let $L \in$ NP
  - By definition, there is a verifier M for L that runs in time $q(n)$ with certificates of size at most $p(n)$, where p, q are polynomial
  - **Reduction:** map $x \mapsto (\llcorner M \lrcorner, x, 1^{p(|x|)}, 1^{q(|x|)})$
  - Correctness follows immediately from definitions

NP-complete Languages

- TMSAT **is not very interesting example**
  - Definition tied directly to the definition of NP
  - Does not really tell us anything new about NP

- **Next objective: find other NP-complete languages**
  - Many **natural** problems are NP-complete
  - In fact, we have already seen many examples

NP-completeness via Reductions

Theorem

Let $L_1, L_2 \in \{0,1\}^*$ be languages. If L_1 is NP*-hard and $L_1 \leq_p L_2$, then L_2 is* NP*-hard.*

- **Proof:** follows from the transitivity of $\leq_p$.

Corollary

Let $L_1, L_2 \in \{0,1\}^*$ be languages. If L_1 is NP*-complete, $L_1 \leq_p L_2$, and $L_2 \in$* NP*, then L_2 is* NP*-complete.*

NP-completeness via Reductions

- **Next lectures:**
  - Prove that a problem called **CNF-SAT** is NP-complete
  - Prove other NP-completeness results by building a **web of reductions** step-by-step, starting from CNF-SAT

- **For example:**
  - We will prove that **3-colouring** is NP-complete via an intermediate problem called **3-SAT**
  - The reduction on previous lecture then implies that **4-colouring** is NP-complete

Lecture 5: Summary

- Polynomial-time verifiers
- The class NP
- Nondeterministic Turing machines
- NP-completeness
- Existence of a NP-complete language