

Evaluator name:

Students names:

	1 (poor)	2 (below average) <i>Standard between 1 and 3</i>	3 (average)	4 (above average) <i>Standard between 4 and 5</i>	5 (excellent)
Explanation of the problem statement or objective of the paper	Poor explanation		Correctly explains the main problem statement/objective		Clear concise explanation; some attempt to relate this to the field in general
Summary of the main points/results of the paper	Poor summary that misses critical points		Includes and correctly explains the main points/results		Clear concise summary
Students' own synthesis on the topic of the paper	No attempt at synthesis		Includes some own synthesis		Very interesting or even novel synthesis
Overall quality of the presentation (slides, timing, balance between presenters)	Poor quality slides; poor timing; imbalance between presenters		Satisfactory slides; balance between students; kept within time; understandable presentation		Excellent slides, good use of 2-person presentation format, excellent overall quality

Comments (two sentences max.):
