

# Evaluation form

ON THE BACKSIDE I'VE  
MADE A MINDMAP OF THE  
CONNECTIONS OF THE  
THEMES

Group to be evaluated:

Evaluating group: TROUBLEMAKERS

Evaluation on the subject chosen by the group:

SUSY GROUP	NOT A ROBOT	AIST ENTR CO P	PEACE OF THE TE	THE ESTIMATORS
CHARMING -IE SPACE, MIRRORS/ REVEALING NEW DIMENSIONS OF TIME SPACE	THE PHILOSOPHICAL ASPECTS OF MIRRORS, DISRUPTING IMAGES	THE CONNECTION FROM ATOMS TO ASTRONOMY	CALEIDOSCOPE! LIGHT/SHADOW REFRACTION/REFLECTION	SHADOW SCULPTURES, LAYERED GEO- METRICS VISUALIZING DATA

Evaluation on the focus/depth of the chosen subject:

AT THIS POINT ALL OF THE GROUPS SEEM TO HAVE QUITE CLEAR THEMES, WHICH DEFINITELY HAVE TOUCHPOINTS WITH EACH OTHER. ~~THE~~ ALL OF THE GROUPS HAVE MANY STARTING POINTS THAT ENABLE DEEPER INVESTIGATION.


Strengths of the presentation:

Development areas of the presentation:

Please continue your evaluation on the other side if /when needed !

Very interesting  
different, condensed!

# Susy



BETTY BIRNBAUM

## Evaluation form

Group to be evaluated:

Evaluating group:

The Estimator  
Peace of the TI

Evaluation on the subject chosen by the group:

OMG! Same as ours? 😊

Evaluation on the focus/depth of the chosen subject:

A lot of material about related art → focused  
and deep

Strengths of the presentation:

Great video! Clear speaking

Development areas of the presentation:

[Empty box for development areas]

Please continue your evaluation on the other side if /when needed !

# Evaluation form

Group to be evaluated: *Sven*

Evaluating group: *The Estimators*

Evaluation on the subject chosen by the group:

Very broad at the moment, we are interested in what exactly the group are going to choose! Still, light, shadows and patterns all are very interesting topics.

Evaluation on the focus/depth of the chosen subject:

It was more broad than deep ☺

Strengths of the presentation:

Very inspiring reference projects, the whole group was involved in the presentation and seemed compassionate about the topic

Development areas of the presentation:

| More clear direction and focus

Please continue your evaluation on the other side if /when needed !

# Evaluation form

Group to be evaluated: *Estimators*

Evaluating group: *Not a Robot*

Evaluation on the subject chosen by the group:

*Shadows / Light - similar to others*  
*Fractals*  
*Component Patterns*

Evaluation on the focus/depth of the chosen subject:

- The focus was quite broad - not much depth
- good/interesting topics but no clear connection

Strengths of the presentation:

*- good variety of examples → organic shapes connected  
to man-made (sand stripes vs.  
eggs + broccoli)*

Development areas of the presentation:

- tie the strings together of the different concepts

Please continue your evaluation on the other side if /when needed !

# Evaluation form

Group to be evaluated: Estimators

Evaluating group: Arg-T

Evaluation on the subject chosen by the group:

~~Hammer~~ Shapes, light, interaction  
~~differing opinions on structure: "should topic be given first  
or ..."~~

Evaluation on the focus/depth of the chosen subject:

Depth: Not very ~~less~~ deep yet  
Focus: not clear yet

Strengths of the presentation:

~~Story of birth of the ...~~  
Well performed, stated how compares / differs from other  
Expectation management ("ideation phase") groups.

Development areas of the presentation:

What's the message you want to relay?

Please continue your evaluation on the other side if /when needed !