

Evaluation form

ON THE BACKSIDE I'VE
MADE A MINDMAP OF THE
CONNECTIONS OF THE
THEMES

Group to be evaluated:

Evaluating group: TROUBLEMAKERS

Evaluation on the subject chosen by the group:

SUSY GROUP	NOT A ROBOT	AIST ENTR CO P	PEACE OF THE TE	THE ESTIMATORS
CHARMING -IE SPACE, FINDING/ REVEALING NEW DIMENSIONS OF THE SPACE	THE PHILOSOPHICAL ASPECTS OF MIRRORS, DISRUPTING IMAGES	THE CONNECTION FROM ATOMS TO ASTRONOMY	CALEIDOSCOPE! LIGHT/SHADOW REFRACTION/REFLECTION	SHADOW SCULPTURES, LAYERED GEO- METRICS VISUALIZING DATA

Evaluation on the focus/depth of the chosen subject:

AT THIS POINT ALL OF THE GROUPS SEEM TO HAVE QUITE CLEAR THEMES, WHICH DEFINITELY HAVE TOUCHPOINTS WITH EACH OTHER. ~~ALL~~ ALL OF THE GROUPS HAVE MANY STARTING POINTS THAT ENABLE DEEPER INVESTIGATIONS


Strengths of the presentation:

Development areas of the presentation:

Please continue your evaluation on the other side if /when needed !

→
EVALUATING
SUBJECTS
CONDENSED.

Susy



Evaluation form

Group to be evaluated: Not a robot

Evaluating group: Peace of the Pi

Evaluation on the subject chosen by the group:

It's very broad & multifaceted.

Evaluation on the focus/depth of the chosen subject:

You have a lot of ideas & insl

Strengths of the presentation:

Well prepared

You guys are the fucking
best group here!
♥♥♥

Development areas of the presentation:

Text was small

Absolutely loved the
presentation. Love the
images & references used.

Please continue your evaluation on the other side if /when needed !

Evaluation form

Group to be evaluated: Not a robot

Evaluating group: The Estimators

Evaluation on the subject chosen by the group:

Mirror / immaterial / universum

Great presentation. The subject seemed to support the materials they want to us. Appreciate the ingenuity.

Evaluation on the focus/depth of the chosen subject:

Strong concepts, strong development and it was great to see the wealth of examples that you have collected.

Strengths of the presentation:

Many practical solutions.
Many well-developed philosophical, ideologic coherence

Development areas of the presentation:

The influence of math in the concept was a little vague.

Please continue your evaluation on the other side if /when needed !

Evaluation form

Group to be evaluated: Not a robot

Evaluating group: SuSy

Evaluation on the subject chosen by the group:

Reflections ate an interesting subject,
but what to reflect?

Evaluation on the focus/depth of the chosen subject:

The focus was quite clear in the beginning,
but by the end of the presentation it was
almost totally dissolved

Strengths of the presentation:

Philosophical background - well elaborated
Reference pictures were very nice not
too well-known

Development areas of the presentation:

The ~~the~~ background idea was clear,
but how the team is going to execute?
And what exactly?

Please continue your evaluation on the other side if /when needed !

Evaluation form

Group to be evaluated: *Not-a-Robot*

Evaluating group: *AIS-T*

Evaluation on the subject chosen by the group:

Mirrors, immateriality

Evaluation on the focus/depth of the chosen subject:

Philosophical start, depth on good level

Focus: could have been a bit clearer.

Strengths of the presentation:

Visuals

Development areas of the presentation:

*Closing, ~~content~~ give a bit
could bring everything together*

Please continue your evaluation on the other side if /when needed !