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"The best time to plan an experiment is after you've done it",

- Fisher


## Introduce yourself

- Who are you?
- What do you do?
- Why are you here?
- Tell something funny about your name?


## What to expect?

- Background and philosophy
- Theory
- Nomenclature
- Practical demonstrations and exercises

What not?

- Matrix algebra
- Statistical basics
- Detailed listing of possible designs
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## Intended learning outcomes

After the course you will be able to:

- Identify the basic principles of experimental design
- Use different programs for experimental design
- Recognise and use different design types
- Determine a suitable regression model based on design data
- Identify and apply different tools for model diagnostics


## Course contents

Five sessions

- Introduction and factorial design
- Factorial design and diagnostics
- Central composite designs and optimization
- Mixture design and miscellaneous
- Practical groupwork


## Requirements

Completed assignments and exam (pass/fail):

- Participation in all the sessions
- Given assignments and group work
- Course reader
- Individual exam (return by email)


## Session 1

Introduction

- Why experimental design

Factorial design

- Design matrix
- Model equation $=$ coefficients
- Residual
- Response contour
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## Some history

Originally by Fisher within agriculture and biology

- Fisher (1925) Statistical Methods for Research Workers (14th ed. reprint 1973: Hafner Publishing Company; New York)
- Fisher (1935) Design of Experiments (8th ed. reprint 1971: Hafner Publishing Company; New York)
- Box \& Wilson (1951) On the experimental attainment of optimum conditions, JRoyal Stat Soc, Ser B,

13, 1-45.

- Hill \& Hunter (1966) A review of response surface methodology: a literature survey, Technometrics, 8, 571-590.


## Experimental design or RSM?
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## Response surfaces



If the current location is known, a response surface provides information on

- Where to go
- How to get there
- Local maxima/minima


## Is there a difference?
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## Research problem

$\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{P}} \mathrm{C}$

- A and B constant reagents
- C reaction product (response), to be maximized
- Tand P reaction conditions (continuous factors), can be regulated


## Response as a contour plot
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## What else do we want to know?

- Which factors and interactions are important
- Positions of local optima (if they exist)
- Direction towards an optimum
- Surface and surface function around an optimum
- Statistical significance



## How can we do it?



## How can we do it?



## How can we do it?



The classical method
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## How can we do it?



The "Soviet" method

- $x^{k}$ possibilities with $k$ factors on $x$ levels
- 2 factors on 4 levels $=16$
experiments


## How can we do it?



The best method - factorial design

- $\Delta T, \Delta P$
- Factor interaction (diagonal)
$\Delta$ Aalto University
Technology


## Why experimental design?

- Reduce the number of experiments
$\rightarrow$ Cost, time
- Extract maximal information
- Understand what happens
- Predict future behaviour



## Challenges

- Multiple factors on multiple levels
- 6 factors on 3 levels, $3^{6}$ experiments
$\rightarrow$ Only 2 levels
$\rightarrow$ Discard factors
= SCREENING
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## Factorial design



| $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{O}$ | T | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 80 | 2 |
| 2 | 120 | 2 |
| 3 | 80 | 3 |
| 4 | 120 | 3 |
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## Factorial design



In coded levels:

| $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{o}$ | T | T coded | P | P coded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 80 | -1 | 2 | -1 |
| 2 | 120 | 1 | 2 | -1 |
| 3 | 80 | -1 | 3 | 1 |
| 4 | 120 | 1 | 3 | 1 |

The smallest possible full factorial design!

## Factorial design



Design matrix:

| $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{o}$ | T | P | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -1 | -1 | 25 |
| 2 | 1 | -1 | 35 |
| 3 | -1 | 1 | 45 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 75 |

## Factorial design

Average T effect:
$\mathrm{T}=\frac{75+35}{2}-\frac{45+25}{2}=20$
Average $P$ effect:
$P=\frac{75+45}{2}-\frac{35+25}{2}=30$
Interaction (TxP) effect:
$T \times P=\frac{75+25}{2}-\frac{45+35}{2}=10$

## Research problem

$A+B \xrightarrow{T, P, K} C$

- A and B constant reagents
- C reaction product (response), to be maximized
- T, P and K reaction conditions (continuous factors) at two different levels
- Number of experiments $2^{3}=8$ ([levels] $\left.{ }^{[\text {factors }]}\right)$

How to select proper factor levels?

## Factorial design

First step

- Selection and coding of factor levels
$\rightarrow$ Design matrix
$T=[80,120]$
$\mathrm{P}=[2,3]$
$K=[0.5,1]$
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## Factorial design

| $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{o}$ | Order | T | P | K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 2 |  | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| 3 |  | -1 | 1 | -1 |
| 4 |  | 1 | 1 | -1 |
| 5 |  | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 6 |  | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 7 |  | -1 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## Randomize!

Factorial design matrix

- Notice symmetry in diffent colums
- Inner product of two colums is zero
- E.g. T'P = 0
$\rightarrow$ Orthogonality

Aalto University

## Orthogonality

For a first-order orthogonal design, $\mathrm{X}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}$ is a diagonal matrix
$\mathbf{X}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}-1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right], \mathbf{X}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}-1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$
$\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}-1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}-1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}4 & 0 \\ 0 & 4\end{array}\right]$
If two columns are orthogonal, variable effects can be estimated independently
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## Factorial design

| $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{o}$ | T | P | K | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 60 |
| 2 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 72 |
| 3 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 54 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 68 |
| 5 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 52 |
| 6 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 83 |
| 7 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 45 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 80 |



## Empirical model

$$
\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{~K})+\varepsilon
$$

$\mathrm{y}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\beta_{2} x_{2}+\ldots+\beta_{k} x_{k}+\varepsilon$
$\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{X b}+\mathbf{e} \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}y_{1} \\ y_{2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{n}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}1 & x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1 k} \\ 1 & x_{21} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{2 k} \\ 1 & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n 1} & x_{n 2} & \cdots & x_{n k}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}b_{0} \\ b_{1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{k}\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}e_{1} \\ e_{2} \\ \vdots \\ e_{n}\end{array}\right]$

Measure
Choose

Unknown! How to solve b?
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## Least-squares regression

Minimize difference between measured and predicted values
$\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{X b}+\mathbf{e}$
$\rightarrow e=y-\hat{y}=y-X b$
Cannot minimize a vector, minize the sum of squares (a scalar)
$\frac{\partial \mathbf{e}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}}{\partial \mathbf{b}}=\ldots=-2 \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}+2 \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X b}=0$
$\rightarrow \mathbf{b}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}$
$\rightarrow$ Least-squares estimate of $\mathbf{b}$

## Linearity

Some confusion about multiple linear regression and linearity

- Linear in coefficients or variables?
$y_{i}=b_{0}+b_{1} x_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}$
- Linear in both coefficients and variables
$y_{i}=b_{0}+b_{1} x_{i}+b_{2} x_{i}^{2}+\varepsilon_{i}$
- Linear in coefficients (with a fixed $x, y$ a linear function of $b_{0}, b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ )
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## Factorial design

| $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{o}$ | T | P | K | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 60 |
| 2 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 72 |
| 3 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 54 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 68 |
| 5 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 52 |
| 6 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 83 |
| 7 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 45 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 80 |

Model equation, main terms:
$y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\beta_{2} x_{2}+\ldots+\beta_{k} x_{k}+\varepsilon_{i}$
where
$y_{i}$ denotes a response
$x_{i}$ a factor or a variable (T, P or K)
$\beta_{i}$ a coefficient
$\varepsilon_{i}$ a residual
$\beta_{0}$ the mean term (average level)

## Factorial design

Model equation = coefficients
$\mathrm{b}=\left[\begin{array}{l}b_{0} \\ b_{1} \\ b_{2} \\ b_{3}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}64.2 \\ 11.5 \\ -2.5 \\ 0.8\end{array}\right]$

- $b_{0}$ average value (mean term)
- Large coefficient $\rightarrow$ important factor
- Interactions usually present


Due to coding, the coefficients are comparable!
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## Factorial design

| $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{o}$ | T | P | K | TxP | TxK | PxK | TxKxP | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |  | 1 |  | -1 | 60 |
| 2 | 1 | -1 | -1 |  | -1 |  | 1 | 72 |
| 3 | -1 | 1 | -1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 54 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | -1 |  | -1 |  | -1 | 68 |
| 5 | -1 | -1 | 1 |  | -1 |  | 1 | 52 |
| 6 | 1 | -1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | -1 | 83 |
| 7 | -1 | 1 | 1 |  | -1 |  | -1 | 45 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 80 |

Factorial design


T



PxK

## Factorial design

Model equation = coefficients
$\mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{b}_{0} \\ \mathrm{~b}_{1} \\ \mathrm{~b}_{2} \\ \mathrm{~b}_{3} \\ \mathrm{~b}_{12} \\ \mathrm{~b}_{13} \\ \mathrm{~b}_{23} \\ \mathrm{~b}_{123}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}64.3 \\ 11.5 \\ -2.5 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.8 \\ 5.0 \\ 0 \\ 0.25\end{array}\right]$

- Large interaction $\mathrm{b}_{13}$ (TxK)
- Important interaction, main effects cannot be
 removed
$\rightarrow$ Which coefficients to include?


## Factorial design

An estimate of standard error needed

- Replicates
- Model residual
$\mathbf{e}=\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{X b}=\mathbf{y}-\hat{\mathbf{y}}$



## Factorial design

Error estimation allows significant testing

Remove insignificant coefficients

- Leave main effects
- Important interaction, main effect cannot be removed



## Factorial design

Error estimation allows significant testing

Remove insignificant coefficients

- Leave main effects
- Important interaction, main effect cannot be removed


Recalculate upon removal!
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## Factorial design

## Model residuals

- Finding outliers
- If normally distributed
$\rightarrow$ Random error
Several ways to present residuals
- Can a suggest response transformation



## Factorial design

$\mathrm{R}^{2}$ statistic

- Explained variation in measured response
$R^{2}=0.996$
- $99.6 \%$ explained
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## Factorial design

More things to look at

- Normal distribution of coefficients
- Residuals
- ANOVA



## Factorial design

For three factors, 2D contours require one constant factor



## Factorial design



Prediction
$\mathrm{T}=110$
$P=2$ (min. level)
$K=0.9$
Coded location
$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{m}}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0.5 & -1 & 0.6 & 0.3\end{array}\right]$
Predicted response
$y_{m}=74.5$

## Session 1

Introduction

- Why experimental design

Factorial design

- Design matrix
- Model equation $=$ coefficients
- Residual
- Response contour


## Nomenclature

## Factorial design

Screening
Design matrix
Model equation
Response
Effect (main/interaction)
Coefficient
Significance
Residual
Contour

## Thank you!

